# Exhibit 170

25

```
Page 1
                                                                                                               Page 2
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                 1
                                                                           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA
                                                                 2
                                                                             FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA
                   ---oOo--
                                                                 3
                                                                                  ---oOo----
       SMARTMATIC USA CORP., SMARTMATIC:
                                                                      SMARTMATIC USA CORP., SMARTMATIC:
                                                                 4
       INTERNATIONAL HOLDING B.V., AND:
                                                                       INTERNATIONAL HOLDING B.V., AND:
       SGO CORPORATION LIMITED,
                                                                 5
                                                                      SGO CORPORATION LIMITED,
               Plaintiffs,
                                                                 6
                                                                              Plaintiffs,
                                                                                        : No.
                         : No.
                                                                            VS.
                                                                                        : 22-cv-0098-WMW-JFD
                         22-cv-0098-WMW-JFD
                                                                 7
       MICHAEL J. LINDELL and MY
                                                                       MICHAEL J. LINDELL and MY
       PILLOW, INC.,
                                                                 8
                                                                      PILLOW, INC.,
                                                                 9
                Defendants.
                                                                               Defendants.
                                                                10
                                                                          BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, pursuant to Notice of
                                                                11
                                                                12
                                                                       Subpoena and on Wednesday, August 16, 2023, at
               REMOTE VIDEO-RECORDED
                                                                       10:00 a.m. thereof at 2300 W. Sahara Boulevard, Las
                                                                13
             DEPOSITION OF ROBERT ZEIDMAN
                                                                14
                                                                       Vegas, Nevada, before me, LAURA AXELSEN, a Certified
                 August 16, 2023
                                                               15
                                                                       Shorthand Reporter, personally appeared
                                                                16
                                                                                ROBERT ZEIDMAN,
                                                                17
                                                                       Called as a witness by the plaintiff.
                                                                18
                                                                                  ---oOo---
                                                                19
       Job No. J10104683
                                                                20
       Stenographically reported by:
                                                                2.1
       LAURA AXELSEN
                                                                22
       CA CSR NO. 6173
                                                                23
       NV CCR 990
                                                                24
       RMR, CCRR, CRR, CRC
                                                                25
                                                Page 3
                                                                                                               Page 4
                APPEARANCES
 1
                                                                                   INDEX
                                                                 1
 2
                                                                 2
      FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                                                                 3
                                                                                                 PAGE
 3
                                                                 4
                                                                       EXAMINATION BY MS. LEVINE-PATTON
                                                                                                                        7
 4
                                                                 5
 5
          BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF, LLP
                                                                       EXAMINATION BY MR. BECK
                                                                                                                 115
          BY: MAURA LEVINE-PATTON, ESQ.
                                                                 6
 6
 7
                                                                 7
          71 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1600
                                                                                  ---oOo---
 8
          Chicago, Illinois 60606
                                                                 8
 9
                                                                 9
                                                                                INDEX OF EXHIBITS
                                                                10
10
      FOR DEFENDANT MYPILLOW:
                                                                                    DESCRIPTION
11
                                                                11
                                                                       EXHIBIT
                                                                                                             PAGE
          PARKER DANIELS KIBORT
12
                                                                12
          BY: ALEC BECK, ESQ.
                                                                13
                                                                       Exhibit 314 Notice of Subpoena
                                                                                                             12
13
14
          888 Colwell Building
                                                                14
                                                                       Exhibit 315 Arbitration, January 17, 2023
                                                                                                                13
15
          123 North Third Street
                                                                15
                                                                       Exhibit 316 Subpoena to Testify at a
                                                                                                              14
                                                                16
                                                                              Deposition in a Civil Action
16
          Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401
                                                                17
                                                                       Exhibit 317 Mr. Zeidman's curriculum vitae
                                                                                                                 15
17
          There also being present Timothy Frey, Esq.
18
                                                                18
                                                                       Exhibit 318 First page Bates stamped
19
       and Andrew Jones, the videographer.
                                                                19
                                                                              ZEIDMAN-SMT-LINDELL042603 (Full
20
                                                                20
                                                                              file provided electronically.)
                                                                21
                                                                       Exhibit 319 First page Bates stamped
21
                 ---oOo---
                                                                22
                                                                              ZEIDMAN-SMT-LINDELL042646 (Full
22
                                                                23
                                                                              file provided electronically.)
23
                                                                24
                                                                       Exhibit 320 First page Bates stamped
2.4
```

25

ZEIDMAN-SMT-LINDELL053965 (Full

August 16, 2023

August 16, 2023

	Page 5	Page 6
1	file provided electronically.)	1 VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. This is Disc
2	Exhibit 321 First page Bates stamped 66	2 No. 1 to the video recorded deposition of Robert
	ZEIDMAN-SMT-LINDELL053987 (Full	3 Zeidman in the matter of Smartmatic U.S.A.
3	`	
4	file provided electronically.)	I
5	Exhibit 322 5.2 Open Capture Files, Chapter 69	5 al. Being heard before the United States District
6	5. File Input, Output, and	6 Court District of Minnesota, Case No.
7	Printing	7 22-cv-0098-WMW-JFD.
8	Exhibit 323 Bates stamped 79	8 This deposition is being held at 2300 West
9	ZEIDMAN-SMT-LINDELL054199-068043	9 Sahara Avenue in Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102, on
10	Exhibit 324 List of Other Files 89	10 August 16th, 2023, at 10:01 a.m. My name is
11	Exhibit 325 Reasoned Decision and Final 111	11 Andrew Jones. I am the videographer. The court
12	Award	12 reporter is Laura Axelsen.
13		13 Counsel, will you please introduce
14	oOo	14 yourselves and affiliations and then the witness
15		15 will be sworn.
16		16 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Maura Levine-Patton on
17		17 behalf of plaintiffs.
18		18 MR. FREY: Tim Frey on behalf of
19		19 plaintiffs.
20		20 MR. BECK: Alec Beck for defendant
21		21 MyPillow.
22		22 ROBERT ZEIDMAN
23		23 having been duly sworn/affirmed
24		24 under penalty of perjury
25		25 testified as follows:
	Page 7	Page 8
1	EXAMINATION BY MS. LEVINE-PATTON	1 A. As an expert witness, I believe it's
2		11. This air expert witness, I believe it is
	MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Good morning,	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably
3	MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Zeidman.	
	_	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably
3	Mr. Zeidman. A. Good morning.	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times.
3 4	<ul><li>Mr. Zeidman.</li><li>A. Good morning.</li><li>Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is</li></ul>	<ul> <li>around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably</li> <li>five, six times.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've</li> <li>been deposed as a fact witness?</li> </ul>
3 4 5	Mr. Zeidman. A. Good morning.	<ul> <li>around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably</li> <li>five, six times.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've</li> <li>been deposed as a fact witness?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6	Mr. Zeidman. A. Good morning. Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and	<ul> <li>around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably</li> <li>five, six times.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've</li> <li>been deposed as a fact witness?</li> <li>A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a</li> <li>case, my deposition is considered a fact witness</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Zeidman. A. Good morning. Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions	<ul> <li>around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably</li> <li>five, six times.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've</li> <li>been deposed as a fact witness?</li> <li>A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a</li> <li>case, my deposition is considered a fact witness</li> <li>deposition. Probably the first time was about 15</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Zeidman. A. Good morning. Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning. Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There 12 were two cases against contractors that worked on my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There 12 were two cases against contractors that worked on my 13 house. One was the inspector for my house in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There 12 were two cases against contractors that worked on my 13 house. One was the inspector for my house in 14 California. I think that well, I think that was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There 12 were two cases against contractors that worked on my 13 house. One was the inspector for my house in 14 California. I think that well, I think that was 15 the first I think that was the first time I was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably five, six times.  Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a case, my deposition is considered a fact witness deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 years ago, that I can recall.  Q. And what case was that?  A. I had a case against a contractor. There were two cases against contractors that worked on my house. One was the inspector for my house in California. I think that well, I think that was the first I think that was the first time I was deposed as a fact witness.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There 12 were two cases against contractors that worked on my 13 house. One was the inspector for my house in 14 California. I think that well, I think that was 15 the first I think that was the first time I was 16 deposed as a fact witness. 17 Q. And then there were four other times that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n.	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably five, six times.  Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a case, my deposition is considered a fact witness deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 years ago, that I can recall.  Q. And what case was that?  A. I had a case against a contractor. There were two cases against contractors that worked on my house. One was the inspector for my house in California. I think that well, I think that was the first I think that was the first time I was deposed as a fact witness.  Q. And then there were four other times that you've been deposed as a fact witness?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n. Q. And where do you live, Mr. Zeidman?	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There 12 were two cases against contractors that worked on my 13 house. One was the inspector for my house in 14 California. I think that well, I think that was 15 the first I think that was the first time I was 16 deposed as a fact witness. 17 Q. And then there were four other times that 18 you've been deposed as a fact witness? 19 A. Roughly.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n. Q. And where do you live, Mr. Zeidman? A. Las Vegas, Nevada.	2 around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably 3 five, six times. 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've 5 been deposed as a fact witness? 6 A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a 7 case, my deposition is considered a fact witness 8 deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 9 years ago, that I can recall. 10 Q. And what case was that? 11 A. I had a case against a contractor. There 12 were two cases against contractors that worked on my 13 house. One was the inspector for my house in 14 California. I think that well, I think that was 15 the first I think that was the first time I was 16 deposed as a fact witness. 17 Q. And then there were four other times that 18 you've been deposed as a fact witness? 19 A. Roughly. 20 Were those also cases where you were the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n.  Q. And where do you live, Mr. Zeidman? A. Las Vegas, Nevada. Q. So I understand that you've given	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably five, six times.  Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a case, my deposition is considered a fact witness deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 years ago, that I can recall.  Q. And what case was that?  A. I had a case against a contractor. There were two cases against contractors that worked on my house. One was the inspector for my house in California. I think that well, I think that was the first I think that was the first time I was deposed as a fact witness.  Q. And then there were four other times that you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. Roughly.  Q. Were those also cases where you were the plaintiff?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n.  Q. And where do you live, Mr. Zeidman? A. Las Vegas, Nevada. Q. So I understand that you've given deposition testimony several times before today.	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably five, six times.  Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a case, my deposition is considered a fact witness deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 years ago, that I can recall.  Q. And what case was that?  A. I had a case against a contractor. There were two cases against contractors that worked on my house. One was the inspector for my house in California. I think that well, I think that was the first I think that was the first time I was deposed as a fact witness.  Q. And then there were four other times that you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. Roughly.  Q. Were those also cases where you were the plaintiff?  A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n.  Q. And where do you live, Mr. Zeidman? A. Las Vegas, Nevada. Q. So I understand that you've given deposition testimony several times before today. Given that you've served as an expert witness, can	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably five, six times.  Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a case, my deposition is considered a fact witness deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 years ago, that I can recall.  Q. And what case was that?  A. I had a case against a contractor. There were two cases against contractors that worked on my house. One was the inspector for my house in California. I think that well, I think that was the first I think that was the first time I was deposed as a fact witness.  Q. And then there were four other times that you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. Roughly.  Q. Were those also cases where you were the plaintiff?  A. Yes.  Q. In matters what was the subject matter
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n.  Q. And where do you live, Mr. Zeidman? A. Las Vegas, Nevada.  Q. So I understand that you've given deposition testimony several times before today. Given that you've served as an expert witness, can you tell me approximately how many times you've been	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably five, six times.  Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a case, my deposition is considered a fact witness deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 years ago, that I can recall.  Q. And what case was that?  A. I had a case against a contractor. There were two cases against contractors that worked on my house. One was the inspector for my house in California. I think that well, I think that was the first I think that was the first time I was deposed as a fact witness.  Q. And then there were four other times that you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. Roughly.  Q. Were those also cases where you were the plaintiff?  A. Yes.  Q. In matters what was the subject matter of those cases?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Zeidman.  A. Good morning.  Q. We met briefly earlier, but my name is Maura Levin Patton. I represent Smartmatic in litigation that was filed against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I'm going to be asking you some questions today regarding the data that Mr. Lindell provided to you at the cyber symposium in connection with the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge. We understand that you have a unique perspective on the data and the events that ensued after the cyber symposium, and we're just going to be just focusing on that.  Before we begin, can you state and spell your name for the record?  A. Robert Zeidman, R-o-b-e-r-t, Z, as in zebra, e-i-d, as in David, m-a-n.  Q. And where do you live, Mr. Zeidman? A. Las Vegas, Nevada. Q. So I understand that you've given deposition testimony several times before today. Given that you've served as an expert witness, can	around 65 or 70 times. As a fact witness, probably five, six times.  Q. Okay. Do you recall the first time you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. I'm assuming when I was a plaintiff in a case, my deposition is considered a fact witness deposition. Probably the first time was about 15 years ago, that I can recall.  Q. And what case was that?  A. I had a case against a contractor. There were two cases against contractors that worked on my house. One was the inspector for my house in California. I think that well, I think that was the first I think that was the first time I was deposed as a fact witness.  Q. And then there were four other times that you've been deposed as a fact witness?  A. Roughly.  Q. Were those also cases where you were the plaintiff?  A. Yes.  Q. In matters what was the subject matter

August 16, 2023

# Page 9 v. The

- 1 one against a home contractor -- well, sorry. The
- 2 first one was against a home inspector when we
- 3 purchased our house. The second time that I recall
- 4 was against a home contractor when we had our house
  - fixed. Actually, there was a -- well, and then I
- 6 think maybe just three because the third one would
- be in my case against Mr. Lindell and MyPillow. I
- was a plaintiff in a couple of other cases, but I
   don't recall that there was a deposition.
  - Q. Do you recall approximately how long ago the contractor case was?
    - A. That was about maybe 10 years ago.
- Q. And do you recall how long ago the inspector case was?
- 15 A. That was about 15 years ago.
- Q. And the Mr. Lindell case was relatively recently?
  - A. Yes.

5

10

11

12

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

25

- Q. Are there any other cases where you've been deposed as a fact witness that you can recall?
- 21 A. Again, I've been involved in -- I was a
- plaintiff in at least two cases I recall. Estate
   matters. I was a defendant in at least one business
- case, but I don't believe that I was deposed in
- 25 those cases. At least, I can't recall a deposition

# Page 10

in those cases.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So although you've given deposition testimony before, I'm going to refresh you on just some of the rules and the protocols that we're going to follow during this deposition. First, I ask that you allow me to finish my question before you provide your answer, and I'll try my hardest not to speak over you.

Do you agree to speak one at a time?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. And then for Laura, the court reporter's sake, all of your answers must be verbal as opposed to nodding your head or saying hm-hmm.

Do you agree to provide verbal answers to my questions?

- A. Yes.
- Q. If you do not understand a question, you can ask me to clarify it. Will you agree to do that if you do not understand a question?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. During my examination, counsel may object. Unless you're instructed not to answer, you are required to answer the question even after he objects.

Do you agree to do that?

# Page 11

- A. I do, but I'd like to say that I've been in very few depositions, but occasionally depositions where they delve into matters that are outside -- in my understanding, outside the scope of the matter at hand, and I'd like to reserve the right to object to those and not answer those
- Q. Understood. We can cross that bridge if we come to it.

If you need a break at any time, just let me know. I only ask that you answer any questions that are pending on the record before we take a break.

Do you agree to do that?

A. Yes.

myself.

- Q. Are you taking any medication today that would prevent you from providing accurate testimony?
- A. No
  - Q. And do you know of any other reason that would prevent you from providing accurate testimony?
    - A. No.
- Q. Did you speak with anyone today, other
- than an attorney that represents you, to prepare fortoday's deposition?
  - A. No.

Q. Did you review any documents on your own

Page 12

to prepare for today's deposition?

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: We're now going to introduce what will be marked as Exhibit 314.

(EXHIBIT 314 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This is your notice of subpoena in this matter. Do you recall receiving this document?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And when you received this, did you understand that Smartmatic was requesting that you produce documents in your possession, custody, or control in connection with this litigation?
- A. Actually, I do recall receiving a subpoena, but I only -- I think I only received one page of the subpoena. I don't recall getting the pages which asked me to bring in documentation.
- Q. Were you represented by Cary Joshi in connection with your correspondence with us?
- A. Ye
- Q. And are you aware whether Ms. Joshi accepted service of a document subpoena in this matter?

25

One was school of arts and sciences.

August 16, 2023

#### Page 13 Page 14 1 A. That's my understanding. 1 Q. And were you under oath when you testified 2 2 Q. And then in response to that subpoena, at the arbitration? 3 you, via Ms. Joshi, produced a series of documents. 3 A. Yes, I was. 4 Do you know -- are you aware of that? 4 Q. You agreed to tell the truth to everything 5 5 that you were asked? A. I believe Ms. Joshi may have mentioned 6 6 that to me. I just know she told me that I didn't A. Yes. 7 have to worry about bringing any documents. 7 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: We're now going to 8 8 Q. Got it. And you were aware that you were enter Exhibit 316. 9 also subpoenaed to sit for a deposition today? 9 (EXHIBIT 316 WAS MARKED FOR 10 10 A. Yes. IDENTIFICATION.) MS. LEVINE-PATTON: So now we're going to 11 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This is your 11 12 12 updated deposition subpoena. Have you ever seen show you what will be marked as Exhibit 315. 13 (EXHIBIT 315 WAS MARKED FOR 13 this document? 14 A. When you asked me previously if I'd seen 14 IDENTIFICATION.) 15 the subpoena, this is the subpoena that I recall 15 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This is a 16 16 transcript of the first day of your arbitration receiving. 17 17 against Mr. Lindell. It includes your arbitration Q. Got it. And you're aware Ms. Joshi 18 testimony. Are you aware that this was produced in 18 accepted service on your behalf for this subpoena? 19 19 connection with your subpoena in this litigation, 20 Q. And this is a subpoena to sit for a 20 the Smartmatic litigation? A. Well, I know that Ms. Joshi told me she 21 deposition today? 21 22 A. Yes. 22 would produce whatever needed to be produced from 23 Q. So you are present today pursuant to both 23 the litigation -- from my litigation. And I 24 Exhibits 314 and Exhibits 316? 24 understand this is publicly available, this 25 A. Yes. 25 transcript. Page 15 Page 16 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Okay. We're now going 1 1 Q. So you were in a Bachelor of Arts? 2 2 to enter what will be marked Exhibit 317. A. And a Bachelor of Engineering. 3 (EXHIBIT 317 WAS MARKED FOR 3 Q. Understood. And then you attended a 4 IDENTIFICATION.) 4 graduate school program? 5 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This is Bates 5 A. Yes. 6 stamped Zeidman-Lindell 042569. This is a copy of 6 Q. You earned your Master of Science in 7 7 your résumé, and this is 34 pages. We don't have to electrical engineering? 8 talk about the whole thing, but I just want to get a 8 A. Yes. 9 sense of your expert credentials. 9 O. And that was in 1982? 10 So we're first going to direct your 10 A. Yes. 11 attention to page 24, where it says education. You 11 Q. From Stanford University? 12 attended undergraduate school at Cornell? 12 A. Yes. 13 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Did you take any classes regarding 14 Q. You graduated in 1981? 14 computer science when you were in graduate school? 15 A. Yes. 15 A. Actually, I think I only took one class in 16 Q. Your degrees were in physics and 16 computer science in graduate school. Technically --17 electrical engineering? 17 well, I took classes in computer engineering and 18 A. Yes. 18 electrical engineering, which in many schools is 19 19 Q. Was that a dual major? combined with computer science. But with regard to 2.0 A. Dual degree. Slightly different than a 20 software and programming, that was separate at 21 dual major because I got a degree from two different 21 Stanford, and I think there was only one class that 22 22 schools I took in that field. 23 Q. What was the other school? 23 Q. I'd like to look at your employment 24 A. Well, so one was school of engineering. 24 history briefly. This starts on page three of your

25

résumé with Signetics Corporation.

August 16, 2023

# Page 17

A. Yes.

- Q. Was that your first employment followinggraduate school?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And then it looks like you were an engineer at several companies in the 1980s; is that right?
    - That's correct.
- 9 Q. What type of engineering were you 10 performing?
  - A. At Signetics, I was designing integrated circuits or computer chips. At ROLM Corporation, I was, again, designing computer chips, but also writing what's called firmware, which is low level software. At American Supercomputers, I was, again, designing computer chips, but also I wrote a what's -- I wrote software to do what's called a behavioral simulator of circuits of computer chips. At Telestream, I was designing chips and systems, physical systems circuit boards.

Then at Stanford, I had a consulting job at Stanford University working on a -- what's called a neurological network memory. I was basically supervising the design of circuit boards, you know, and the 1980s was -- included I think where I was

# Page 18

- consulting at ICO Systems where I was designing
   systems -- communication systems.
  - Q. Were these --
  - A. And I should say and controller embedded systems and communication systems.
    - Q. And were these all technology companies?
    - A. Yes.

computer science.

- Q. It says that in -- from 1982 to 1988 you worked at eVault Remote Backup Service. You were the founder and the president. What is eVault Remote Backup Service?
  - A. E-Vault was a -- the very first remote back-up service, which would allow customers using a modem over the phone lines to automatically back up their critical files to a server that I maintained, and I wrote that entire system, the software for that system myself, and maintained the company.
- Q. So that was computer software that you wrote?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then it looks like in the 1990s you were a consultant for several large and well-known companies that include Cisco systems?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Hitachi?

## Page 19

- A. Yes.
- Q. Apple?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in the early 2000s you then foundedZeidman Technologies?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. What does Zeidman Technologies do?
    - A. Zeidman Technologies officially closed this year, but it had a technology for -- it was software that automatically generated other software, specifically what's called a realtime operating system. So a user could specify some parameters within other code and this tool would go through other code, find those parameters, and rewrite the code to create a system that could operate on its own. That's simplification. I don't think you want the details of it.
    - Q. It sounds complicated. So you developed the software tools for that project?
    - A. Yes, I -- I developed the concept. I filed the patents. I wrote the prototype myself, and then I hired people to turn the prototype into a commercial product.
    - Q. So you're also the company founder and president of Software Analysis and Forensic

- Engineering Corporation. What is that company?
- A. So that company provides software
  forensics tools, specifically the main product is
  called CodeSuite. CodeSuite is used typically in
  intellectual property disputes, software
  intellectual property disputes, to compare a
- software from two different parties to determine if
   one was copied from another.
  - Q. So you wrote computer software in that capacity -- in that role as well?
  - A. Yes. I wrote the initial tool and continued to develop it over the past 20 years or so. I brought some people on to -- at various phases to add features or maintain the tool, but at this point, I'm the one who maintains it.
    - Q. Mr. Zeidman, approximately how many times have you written computer software?
    - A. Really hard to say. I started writing software about 50 years ago when I was in middle school, and the reason I never took many classes -- I think I've taken two computer science classes. By the time I got to college, I'd already written a full operating system that won a national award, and I thought I didn't need to take any more classes in

August 16, 2023

#### Page 21

- Q. Wow. Did you earn any patents?
- A. I currently have 28 patents, 28 issued patents. I think 27 of them are software patents or have some software aspect to them.
  - Q. Have you ever heard the term Internet packet data?
    - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. What is your definition of the term Internet packet data?
- A. Well, packet data are small chunks of data that are used to efficiently send information over a network. Internet packet data would be specifically packet data that travels the Internet as opposed to, for example, a company's local network.
- Q. Have you ever worked with Internet packet data through your employment experience?
- A. Many times, yes.
- Q. In what position? In what employment positions or what companies?
- A. Let's see. I think first was at David
  Systems, which is at the bottom of page two. So at
  David Systems, their key technology was sending
  packets -- network packets over a phone system. So
  they had designed a phone system, so let's say they
  were called PBXs, private branch exchanges, but they

Page 22

were systems that a company could have to control the input and output to all of the extensions within the -- within a building or within a company.

And David Systems had figured out how to send ethernet data, which is the most common networking -- type of network -- the most common type of network. They figured out how to put -- to send ethernet packets over these phone systems. So they had developed that, but I was called in as a consultant because they had a big project to design a system for Alcatel in France. And Alcatel had certain requirements, and the company was going through certain changes. So they brought me in to design some chips and to supervise the project. So that was David Systems.

With my remote back-up company, eVault, I had to have some understanding -- let me take that back. Actually, that worked over a phone system. The later systems worked over networks, but that worked over a phone system. It didn't really involve packets. It was a similar kind of technology, but I shouldn't call it packets.

But Wireless Access, I was a consultant on a chip that had to connect to a network and send packets. Adaptive Video was a network-based

## Page 23

imaging -- medical imaging system, and, in fact, I designed the hardware, but I eventually got involved in the software, which connected over the network. And then of course Cisco Systems is where I got most of my experience where I actually designed simulators for switches, hubs, and routers. These are devices that send packets. They control packet switching.

So in other words, if -- you know, if you're on your computer and you're sending something to someone else on their computer, it goes through switches and hubs and routers throughout the world. Cisco, at the time, was the largest seller of these kinds of devices, and I worked on the Catalyst -- well, okay. Let me take that back.

This was pre-Catalyst. The reason I know that, Catalyst was their most successful product during the '90s. If you go -- oh, it doesn't have it here because I don't have all my consulting work here. Because I worked for a company called Crescendo Systems.

The founders of David Systems -- as I mentioned, David Systems was going through some changes when they hired me. The engineering team had quit, which is why they brought me on to finish

Page 24

- this project, but the engineering team -- I got to know them before they quit, and they started Crescendo Systems, and Crescendo Systems was building a high speed router. They brought me on as a consultant on a small side project they had, which
- was a multi-port router, which became the reason
   that Cisco bought them, and that became the Catalyst
   router.

And, again, as I said, the Catalyst was Cisco's most successful product. So, interestingly, at Crescendo I worked on what became Cisco's most successful product.

Q. Is it safe to say that you'd recognize Internet packet data if you saw it?

A. Yes, I've had to understand it very much to simulate it, to build hardware, to deal with it. I actually haven't gone over all the projects I worked on, but that was a major part of my work was hardware and software for understanding packets.

In fact, one -- just one other thing I'll mention is at Zeidman Technologies, which you mentioned, I had software that I patented called Molasses. Molasses was a fully -- full software solution to -- there was a problem -- again, I don't need to go into the details unless you want to hear

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

25

August 16, 2023

#### Page 25

it, but there was a problem in a particular industry, the electronic design automation industry, and everyone thought that you had to have a hardware solution, a very, very expensive hardware solution to this problem about slowing down network traffic.

But I actually realized you could do it with a simple personal computer and software, and I wrote the software and sold the product to several different companies over a seven-year period and got one patent in the technology, and I believe six, seven, or eight other patents that were continuations or continuations in part of that technology. And that required me to understand the packet data because I had to take in packets, my software had to take in packets, actually modify them on the fly, and then send them out again.

- Q. Have you ever heard the phrase advanced packet sniffing?
  - A. Well, I know packet sniffing.
- Q. What is your definition of packet sniffing?

A. So packet sniffing is basically recording packets on a network. My Molasses technology that I patented actually modified the packets on the fly. So in other words, packet sniffing is typically

Page 26

Page 28

- recording packets, but my software could take in a packet, modify it, make it look like it came from somewhere else, and then send it on its way.
- Q. So your résumé shows that one of your current positions is at Zeidman Consulting where you're the founder and president. What does Zeidman Consulting do?
- A. Zeidman Consulting started out being me designing hardware and software on a contract basis for various companies. It's now mostly litigation support, doing forensics. So taking apart or reverse engineering hardware and software to determine whether any IP infringement occurred and then writing a report and testifying in court. And I have -- I've trained people to do that, and I subcontract out work as needed.
- Q. Approximately, how many employees do you have?
- A. I currently have two, me and my wife, but I have a network of consultants. I'd say there are three -- there are -- well, there are about five or six that I use on a regular basis, and I've trained close to 60 people in my methods and tools.
- Q. So do you consult on IP infringement that has to do with forensic computing?

Page 27

1 A. Well, I wouldn't -- the technique is 2 forensics. You know, we work on computing. We work 3 on hardware and software. A lot of what we do is 4 looking at software. So I would call -- the 5 forensics is the examination and presentation in 6 court, but we look at all kinds of machines in 7 various industries and various technologies. 8

Q. Got it. It looks like you've done a fair amount of litigation consulting from your résumé. Approximately, how many times have you been hired as an expert witness in legal matters?

A. As a -- well, I'll say as a consultant to legal matters, I've been hired just over 260 times. As a testifying expert, probably roughly 200 times. The first few -- the first -- probably the first 20 or 30 times I was just consulting on a team of people, not testifying.

Q. And approximately how many expert reports have you written?

A. Over 200.

Q. And do you work on software copyright

22 cases?

23 A. Yes.

24 O. And software trade secret cases?

A. Yes.

1 Q. And patent cases as well? 2 A. Yes, software and hardware.

Q. What subject matter have you been qualified as an expert in?

A. Let me put it this way. There's --MR. BECK: I'll object to the form of question with respect to being qualified as an expert. Go ahead, please.

THE WITNESS: So the technologies I've testified on range from medical equipment to breathalyzers, to networking equipment to game -gaming software. There's -- there's no kind of hardware or software that I've ever been excluded from working on. Mainly because the technology -at the very basic level, the technology is the same. It's mostly the use of the technology that differs from machine to machine.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Have you been qualified as an expert in federal court before?

Q. Approximately, how many times?

A. I would say at least a hundred times. I don't know how many more than that.

Q. Have you been qualified as an expert in state court?

Page 29

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

Page 30

#### 1 A. Yes. 1 Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2 2 Q. Approximately, how many times? Q. How do you earn that? 3 A. I would say at least 50 times. 3 A. Not quite sure. It's -- somebody 4 Q. Have you been qualified as an expert by 4 recommends you based on your work, and then it goes 5 the International Trade Commission? 5 to a committee and the committee reviews -- I assume 6 6 they review my work or my credentials. It's not --7 7 Q. And have you been hired by both plaintiffs I'm not aware that they publicly go through the 8 8 and defendants? process, and then I'm notified that I was given an 9 A. Yes. 9 10 Q. Have you ever -- have you been hired by 10 Q. When you say somebody recommends you, it's 11 different law firms? 11 peers in your field? 12 A. Yes, many different law firms. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Is that all over the country? 13 Q. You were also given awards for cybernetics 14 A. All over the world. 14 and informatics; is that right?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 31

16 an expert witness before? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Approximately how many times?

A. Probably 260 times.

15

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I'm going to talk about -- I'd like to

talk about some of the awards that you've earned. It looks like you've earned multiple awards as an

Q. Have you ever analyzed computer files as

outstanding engineer for forensic software analysis.

24 Who gave you this award? 25

A. That was the IEEE, the Institute of

Page 32

remember -- I just remember that I submitted a paper. The paper was accepted. I gave a talk at a conference somewhere in the world. I'd have to go back -- it might have been India, and then the attendees of the conference would vote on the best paper and mine was voted best paper.

 Q. And what is cybernetics and informatics, just generally?

A. Generally, it refers to computer information and computer manipulation of information.

Q. You've also been awarded for software development; is that true?

A. I think -- there was the Jolt Reader's Choice Award for a book I wrote from software development magazine, if that's what you're referring to, or I received two Jolt awards.

Q. And what are Jolt awards? A. Jolt was a magazine that doesn't exist anymore, unfortunately, but it was a -- it came from -- there was a super caffeinated cola called Jolt, but this was put out by software development magazine. They produced awards for books and software and anything having to do with software development. I don't recall. I think they had a

1 panel of judges who would judge different products, 2 and so I received an award -- two awards for two 3 books that I wrote on software. One was really on 4 hardware development, and one was for my book on 5 software forensics. 6

A. If you show me the page of my C.V. --

A. I just want to remember which one in

Q. I'm looking at number six, Session's Best

A. Okay. Okay. That was a conference. The

conference -- it was a worldwide conference on

systemic cybernetics and informatics. I don't

Q. I'm on page 21 of your C.V.

A. And which number is that?

Q. Yeah, I'm sorry.

particular.

Q. Do you know how you earned this award?

A. All I recall is that I think there was a panel of esteemed judges. I don't know who they were, and they would go over all the entries and decide which ones deserved awards.

Q. I'm now on page 23 of your résumé. You have a list of special knowledge and skills at the bottom of the page. It shows that you've listed software code analysis and synthesis. What does synthesis mean in this context?

A. Synthesis means taking a description and automatically generating software code from it. So you mentioned we discussed Zeidman Technologies. I had a tool called SythOS, which had -- there was a family of seven patents that I filed, and it was a product that I sold through Zeidman Technologies, and that would automatically generate software code based on specifications.

Q. You've also listed that you have skills in various computer architectures. What does it mean

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

#### Page 33

to be an expert in computer architecture?

A. So different computer processors are designed in different ways is the simplest way to say it, and the processors that I've listed there are all processors that I've either developed software for -- let me say that these days, when you develop software, the architecture of a processor is typically hidden from the software developer, but 30, 40, 50 years ago you had to write software that specifically -- to a specific computer architecture.

In other words, you would have to understand the features of the processor in order to write software for it, and so these are processors that I all wrote software for or -- and also -also, in many cases, interfaced these processors to hardware in which case you need to know the architecture, and by architecture I mean the internal features, the internal workings of them.

- Q. On the next page, it shows that you are an expert in networking protocols. What does that mean?
- A. Well, we discussed packets.
- 23 Q. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. As far as I recall, every networking 2.4 25 protocol uses some kind of packet, but the packets Page 34

- 1 are -- have different formats. The most common one 2 now though is what's called the ethernet packet.
- 3 The Internet works on ethernet packets. Although
- 4 you can -- a gateway is a device -- for example, I
- 5 mentioned I worked on gateways. They will transform
- 6 packets from one format to another. But 7
- basically -- and different networks transmit the 8 packets in different ways.

But I'm familiar with these -- I'm particularly familiar with ethernet, which is by far the most common system for transmitting packet data on a network. But I'm also familiar with -- I was familiar with ATM. ATM, in the '90s, was going to be the next -- was going to replace ethernet. A lot of companies were certain it was going to replace ethernet, but, like Betamax, was expected to replace VHS tapes. It never happened. ATM never replaced ethernet.

MR. BECK: That analogy might be lost on these two.

THE WITNESS: That's true. I realize that. I don't know. As soon as it came out, I realized not everyone might understand that.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. So you're also an expert in several software programming languages; is

Page 35

that true?

- Q. And you're an expert in several operating systems?
- Q. Okay. I'm going to move on to your scholarship, which starts at page 24. It looks like here you've got 11 books and 108 papers or presentations. Is that up-to-date?
- A. No, there have been a few more. Actually gave two presentations at the Star Trek convention here in Las Vegas on intellectual property, but -and there might be -- there might be some others missing, but this is fairly up-to-date, I think.
- Q. And then if we look at page 31, it shows that you are the named inventor of 26 patents. I think earlier you mentioned 29. So is that missing a few patents?
  - A. Right. It's now at 29.
- Q. And 28 of those patents are in computer software?
- A. Yes, they were involved. They involve computer software.
- Q. We're going to switch gears a little bit. So you're familiar Mr. Mike Lindell?

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. How did you first come to know of 3 Mr. Lindell?
  - A. I'm sure it was for his commercials for MyPillow.
    - Q. And have you ever spoken with Mike Lindell?
    - A. I don't think I've ever spoken directly with him.
- 10 Q. We're going to talk about your experience 11 at the cyber symposium, which was hosted by Mike 12 Lindell in August of 2021. When did you first hear 13 about the cyber symposium?
  - A. I think it was probably around June of 2021.
  - Q. And how did you hear about it in June?
  - A. All that I can recall is that some podcast or news report mentions something about it. It might have been on the Jamie Glazov podcast.
    - Q. Who is Jamie Glazov?
- 21 A. Jamie Glazov is a person who has a 22 podcast. He interviews people. Among others, he
- 23 does -- he had done a number of interviews with Mike
- 24 Lindell. Jamie Glazov actually invited me on his 25

August 16, 2023

#### Page 37

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- book, a novel, that interested him. So we had a podcast with two other people, who -- one was a friend of mine. One has become a friend of mine.
  - Q. So Mr. Glazov was talking about the cyber symposium on his podcast and that's how you first heard about it; is that right?
  - A. I know that I heard about it on his podcast. I can't say for sure that's the first place I heard about it.
    - Q. And did you attend the cyber symposium?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

16

19

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Was that from August 10 through August 12, 2021?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you attend all three days?
  - A. Yes.
- 17 Q. How did you come to attend the cyber 18 symposium?
- A. Friends of mine told me that I should go. 20 And after a while they convinced me that it would be an interesting experience, and I e-mailed Jamie
- 22 Glazov and said can you get me invited, because I 23 understood you had to be invited, and he wrote back
- 24 that -- well, actually I received something from
- 25 what -- I think was Lindell Management or one of

Page 38

- Mr. Lindell's companies saying that I had been invited, and it had a room reservation for me.
- Q. What information did you need to supply in order to be invited to attend?
- A. I don't remember supplying anything. I'd heard that you needed to be qualified to be a cyber expert. In other words, to be a cyber expert, allegedly, there was some kind of qualification requirement. I don't know if -- you know, if -- if my name was put through some kind of process or not. People know my reputation. My friends know my reputation. I think Jamie Glazov knows it.

So I don't know if there really was a qualification process, but it's also possible that they just knew me and decided that I met the qualifications.

- Q. And were you given cyber security credentials when you came to the cyber symposium?
- 19
- 20 Q. Did you bring your own computer to the 21 cyber symposium?
- 22 A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you bring any other technical equipment with you?
    - A. I think just my computer and some flash

Page 39

drives

- Q. And what was the first thing you did when you arrived at the cyber symposium?
- A. I checked in. I was given a hot pink dot that -- for my badge. I was given a badge and a hot pink dot to put on the badge to show that I was a cyber expert, and then I was directed to a room that had a guard who checked for the dot on my badge and then let me in.
- Q. So when you first heard of the cyber symposium, is that the same time that you learned about the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge?
- A. I would say in January of 2021, I had heard that Mr. Lindell claimed he had proof of voter hacking. The -- when I heard notice of the symposium, I also heard Mr. Lindell talk about the five-million-dollar challenge. I don't think I had heard a name for it, but I just heard there was a five-million-dollar challenge, and it was when I got to the symposium that I was handed a form to sign which said these are the rules of the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge.
- Q. And what did you think the purpose of the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge was?
  - A. Well, initially, before I got the

Page 40

- 1 paperwork, I thought if you could prove that the 2 votes or the voting machines were not hacked and the
- 3 vote was not corrupted in the 2020 -- November 2020
- 4 presidential election that you would win five
- 5 million dollars. When I received the agreement to
- 6 sign, the restrictions were much narrower or the,
- 7 let's say, the goal of the prize was much narrower.
- 8 And that was to simply prove that the data had no
- 9 relation to the 2020 election.
- 10 Q. Did you enter the Prove Mike Wrong 11 Challenge?
- 12 A. I did because I was told that I couldn't 13 see the data without signing the forms.
  - Q. And when you entered the challenge, did you believe you would be able to succeed?
    - A. No.
- 17 Q. Why is that? 18
  - A. Because three days is not enough time to examine data, typically. It could be a very complicated process. Sometimes there's a team of us working for weeks. Sometimes even months. I also thought that Mr. Lindell -- my understanding is that his own team had already validated the data, and also because the challenge was not to show whether

or not hacking had occurred, but whether the data

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

25

1

2

3 4

14

15

16

21

23

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

## Page 41

- 1 was related to the election, I assumed from the
- 2 start the data was related to the election.
- Q. You said Mr. Lindell's own team. Did you
- 4 know who was on that team?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Did you ever learn who was on that team?
  - A. I did.

5

6

7

21

22

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

23

25

- 8 Q. And who was that?
- 9 A. Well, there was Josh Merritt, Doug Frank,
- 10 Todd Sanders. It's a little unclear. During my
- 11 arbitration against Mr. Lindell, it was never clear
- who else was on that team. There was Kurt Olsen,
- Phil Waldren. I can't remember his real name.
- Somebody who goes by Code Monkey Z -- Watkins. I
- can't remember his first name. Watkins.
- All of these peoples' names were
- 17 mentioned, and also Dennis Montgomery, Conan Hayes,
- but it was never clear and still not clear to me
- 19 today which of these people had allegedly vetted the
- 20 data to show hacking.
  - Q. So when did you first receive the rules to the Prove Mike Wrong Challenge?
- A. When I entered the symposium.
- Q. And did you sign the rules at that time?
- 25 A. Yes.

# Page 42

Page 44

- Q. Okay. We're going to show you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 124. Do you recognize these first couple of pages?
- A. Yes, these look to be the Prove Mike -5 Prove Mike Wrong official rules.
  - Q. And what was your understanding of the rules when you signed them?
  - A. My understanding was that I couldn't see the data without signing. Although, to be fair, I would have signed anyway. There was nothing in the rules that seemed objectionable to me, and that I -- to win contest, I needed to prove that the data was not -- the data Mr. Lindell supplied was not related to the 2020 election.
    - Q. And were you aware that Lindell Management LLC was the entity sponsoring and administering the challenge?
- A. Yes, it says so in the very first paragraph.
- Q. Had you ever heard of Lindell ManagementLLC before?
  - A. No.
- Q. Have you ever spoken with anyone at
- 24 Lindell Management LLC before?
  - A. No.

- Q. So you told me a couple minutes ago that after you signed rules and you got the pink dot on
- your badge, you went to a room with other experts.
- 4 How many other cyber security experts were there on5 the first day?
  - A. I was told there were two rooms. I was directed to one of them, and in that room there were about 12 to 15 experts. I assumed there were 12 to 15 in the other room, but I don't really know.
  - Q. Did you recognize any of the experts that were in your room?
  - A. No, but I -- that didn't surprise me.
- There's a lot of people who do this work.
  - Q. Did you say anything to the other people in your room on that first day?
  - A. I -- we all introduced each other. We shared information about where to download
- Mr. Lindell's data. Yeah, there was a lot of
- ongoing discussion.O. So did you receive data to re
  - Q. So did you receive data to review on that first day of the cyber symposium?
- 22 A. Yes.
  - Q. How were you given access to that data?
- A. As I recall, there was a whiteboard with a
  - URL for reaching a site on the local network at the

- building where we could download the files.
- Q. Did anyone talk to you about how to access the files?
- A. I know that people from -- from
- 5 Mr. Lindell's red team -- they called themselves the
- 6 red team -- would come in. I found that out, I
- 7 think, during the symposium. Would come in -- I
- 8 didn't really know who they were, but somebody would
- 9 come in and say here's how you access the files or I
- understand you're having problems accessing the
   files. Here's what you need to do. They would come
- Thes. Here's what you need to do. They would come
- in and say something like that and leave.

  O. Do you recall any of the specific
  - Q. Do you recall any of the specific people on the red team who would come in and talk to you?
    - A. The only one I know specifically was Josh Merritt. That's because later I find out it was him
- because of some things he told us.
- Q. Had you met Josh Merritt before the cyber symposium?
- 20 A. No.
  - Q. Do you recall what Mr. Merritt told you
- about the data you were receiving on the first day?
  - A. What Mr. Merritt told us?
- Q. Uh-huh.
- A. Well, at first he came in and gave us, as

August 16, 2023

#### Page 45

- 1 I recall -- well, someone came in and gave us
- information about how to download it. I can't say
   whether that was him or not. I was sitting at a
- desk and somebody would walk in, say something, and
  - leave. But at one point he did -- and I'll quote
  - him. At one point later in the day he said this is
    - all bullshit. The data is all bullshit.
    - Q. And that was on the first day of the cyber symposium?
      - A. I believe so.

- Q. Do you recall which files you were reviewing when he said that?
- A. Those were what I call the day one files. I think there were seven of them. It's in my report.
- Q. Yep, I'd like to talk about your report next. So if you actually flip to page 42 of Exhibit 124, is this your expert report?
  - A. Yes, it is. It looks to be.
- Q. Before I forget to go back, I'd like to ask you one more question about the red team. Did anyone else other than Josh Merritt talk to you about what the data was supposed to be?
- A. I had read reports that one of the other people that came in -- that someone else came in

Page 46

possibly -- well, it's possible that Phil Waldren
came in, but I don't know for sure. Again, I was
focused on the data, and I had my back to the door.
Someone would come in and make a statement or two
and then leave. So I really didn't get a chance to
see who was making the statement, and I didn't know

who was who at that point.

- Q. What did the other people say who came into the room at various points throughout the day?
- A. I don't have any recollection of anything specific. Most of them were just telling us how to download the files. I know that we all had questions once we downloaded the files. The main question was where are the PCAP files, and I think occasionally someone would come in and make a statement, but I don't remember. At some point, I realized we had not received PCAP files.
- Q. Was it a consensus among the group of cyber experts that there were no PCAP files?

MR. BECK: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Uhm --

A. Let me -- there were people who spent all three days looking -- we received some very large binary files, and there were people who spent I

## Page 47

think all three days trying to understand whether these were PCAP files, but by day one I was convinced they were not PCAP files. So I think everyone knew we had not received PCAP files, but some people believed that some of the larger files might be some kind of modified PCAP files in a format we weren't aware of.

So everyone knew that we did not get PCAP files, but that some people felt that they might still be with -- somehow encoded within the files we had received.

Q. And why were people expecting or why were you expecting to see PCAP files?

A. Well, I was not expecting particularly -particularly to see PCAP files. I know that
Mr. Lindell had made a number of statements about
having the PCAP files. My feeling is the other
people at the symposium were -- ranged from I.T.
people with little experience in forensics to cyber
security people. Some -- you know, at least one or
two or three very experienced cyber security people,
but I think I was the only, what I would call,
forensics person.

And cyber security people tend to be given a certain type of data and ask to figure out what's

Page 48

in that data. As a forensics examiner, I never know what I'm being given. So I don't assume that I'm getting any particular format, even if party to a litigation says it's in a particular format.

And so I think that made my analysis somewhat unique in that I just didn't -- once I determined these were not PCAP files, I started using other tools to figure out what they actually

- Q. And did you eventually draft an expert report?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you draft the report?
  - A. I realized that I knew what the day one files were. I knew they were not PCAP files or related to the November 2020 election. So I typically write a report. I have a template that I use. I went to my hotel, wrote up all my results, registered this with the copyright office, and was prepared to bring it in the next day.
  - Q. Did you draft this report on the first day of the cyber symposium?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. After looking at the files from day one?
  - A. Well, let me -- let me say not this

August 16, 2023

#### Page 49

- particular report that you have here. Because we were given more files on day two, and so then I realized that I needed to examine those files, and so the report you have here is what I eventually filed on day three where each day I added more to
  - Q. I'd like to direct your attention to page 51. This is where you wrote files from day one. Do you see that?
    - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Is this the list of seven files that you viewed on the first day?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you expect to receive more than seven files on the first day?
- A. No, I think it surprised everyone, all the cyber experts.
  - Q. Why were you surprised?
- A. On day one, nobody said anything about other files. On day one, we were told here are the files. You can download them from this location, and so there was no expectation we would receive additional files.
  - Q. And who communicated that to you?
- A. The red team members. Maybe was Josh

Page 50

- Merritt, maybe was Phil Waldren. I just don't 1 2 remember.
- 3 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to 4 Paragraph 20. It says that you were instructed to 5 examine files in the folder pod\_DIST. How did you 6 get to that folder?
- A. So you can see there a network locations 7 8 //USAserver01/cyber. We were given the -- we were 9 connected to the local network, and that's just a 10 URL. It's just a location on the network. If you 11 point your browser to it, then you'll see a folder 12 called pod\_DIST.
  - Q. It looks like the first file listed in your report is file CExtract.mp4. Did you open that file?
    - A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 17 O. How did you open that file?
- 18 A. It's an MPEG file, so that means it's a 19 video. If you double click on it in Windows, which 20 is the machine I have, it will come up with a 21 standard media player and start playing it. It's a 22 video file.
  - O. And what was that a video of?
  - A. Well, it was -- you know, I can tell you what I eventually learned it was of or what I

Page 51

1 eventually understood it to be of. Because some 2 details didn't become clearer until the arbitration, 3 but it was someone manipulating -- using a 4 development environment, a software development 5 environment -- let me back up.

> It's a screenshot of a mouse being manipulated using a development -- software development environment, and obviously someone on the computer typing some information onto some screens. It didn't have sound. It lasted I think a minute and 20 seconds, and later on, if you zoomed in on it -- and we also I think heard some testimony at the arbitration. It was a Microsoft visual studio development system, which is probably the most common development system. It showed a tiny bit of source code for some program.

But there was no explanation. You couldn't gather any understanding of what the video was about from looking at it.

- Q. Was there any indication of where the video came from?
- A. No.
- 23 Q. Was this first file related to the 2020 24 U.S. election?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Was there any data in this first file 2 indicating that voting machines were online during 3 the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Was there any data in this first file indicating that voting machines changed votes during the 2020 U.S. election?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Was there any data in this first file indicating that voting machines were hacked during the 2020 U.S. election?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Was there any data in this first file pertaining to Smartmatic?
    - A. No.
    - Q. Was there any data in the first file indicating that the 2020 U.S. election was stolen?
      - A. No.
- Q. I'd like to talk about the next file 19 20 listed as number two in your report. It was called
- 21 Election Process Taxonomy.PDF. Did you open that 22
- 23 A. Yes, that's a standard PDF of a diagram of 24 some voting system. 25
  - Q. And how did you open the file?

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

11:06 a.m.

A. Okay. Just a minute.

minutes just to fill up my coffee?

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Sure.

MR. BECK: Maura, can we take a couple of

VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.

(The deposition was in recess from 11:05

August 16, 2023

#### Page 53 Page 54 1 A. Again, clicking on it brought up the 1 that voting machines changed votes during the 2020 2 2 default application Adobe Acrobat. U.S. election? 3 Q. What specifically was in the second file? 3 A. No. 4 A. As I recall, there were two diagrams, I 4 Q. Did the second file have evidence proving 5 believe. Each one showed some kind of voting system 5 that voting machines were hacked during the 2020 6 6 at a very high level. It might have shown voting U.S. election? 7 machines connected to -- I just don't recall. It 7 A. No. 8 8 might have mentioned voting machines, but it was Q. Did the second file have evidence 9 just a generic block diagram of how voting occurred 9 pertaining to Smartmatic? 10 10 in some system. A. I don't believe so. 11 Q. Did the second file have evidence 11 Q. Was the second file related, in your 12 opinion, to the 2020 U.S. election? 12 indicating that the 2020 U.S. election was stolen? 13 13 A. No. A. No. 14 Q. I'd like to talk about the third file that 14 Q. Was there any data in that second file 15 you have in your report, which is called Chinese 15 indicating that voting machines were online during 16 Source IP Hex.txt. 16 the 2020 U.S. election? 17 17 A. I don't recall. Did you open this file? 18 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the 18 A. Yes, I did. 19 Q. How did you open it? 19 second file showed that voting machines were online 20 A. Well, I explained it in my report. It's a 20 during the 2020 U.S. election? 21 text file, so initially I opened it with standard 21 A. I don't recall if it showed some kind of 22 text editor on my computer. 22 connection in the diagram, but to me, it was just a 23 Q. And what did you find in the third file? 23 diagram with no -- it was a generic diagram with no 24 A. This was ASCII representation of text, 24 specific relationship to the November 2020 election. 25 which means text that had been -- sorry. I said 25 Q. Did the second file have evidence proving Page 55 Page 56 that wrong. ASCII representations of hex numbers. 1 1 to 11:16.) 2 Hex are base 16 numbers that are used by computers, 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 3 but these weren't actual numbers. They were letters 3 4 designed for a human to read them as hex numbers. MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Mr. Zeidman, we 4 5 Q. You refer to hex code in your report. Is 5 just introduced Exhibit 318. Do you recognize this 6 that the same hex that you're talking about right 6 document? 7 7 A. Yes. So if you take the file Chinese now? 8 8 A. I believe so, yes. Source IP Hex.txt and translate the ASCII 9 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Okay. We're now going 9 representation of hex to actual hex and then bring 10 to introduce what will be marked as Exhibit 318. 10 it up, that file in a word processor, this This is Bates stamped Zeidman SMT Lindell 042603. Exhibit 318 that you've shown me is what you'll see. 11 11 12 (EXHIBIT 318 WAS MARKED FOR 12 O. So you mentioned ASCII. Is that 13 13 A-S-C-I-I? IDENTIFICATION.) 14 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. So what I've shown 14 A. Yes. 15 you is the first 10 pages printed of Exhibit 318. 15 Q. What is that? 16 This is a voluminous file with thousands of pages, 16 A. It's just a standard way of representing 17 17 and the actual Exhibit 318 is on a computer that is numbers and letters and characters for a computer. 18 in front of you there. Would you look at that file? 18 Q. And you write in Paragraph 26 of your

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

report that you used a program called hex to bin

that you created in order to convert ASCII

Q. Is that the process that you used?

other tools available, that are easily available

A. I did. People pointed out that there are

represented text to binary.

A. Yes.

August 16, 2023

#### Page 57

- online, but this was a tool I created many years ago to do the same thing.
  - Q. And you converted the file to binary code?
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And when you converted the file to binary, did you discover it was an RTF file?
  - A. Yes, rich text format.
- Q. And were you able to open it at that point --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- using --
- 12 A. With Microsoft Word.
- Q. What did you see when you opened the RTF file in Microsoft word?
- A. I -- I saw what's shown to me in
   Exhibit 318, at least this is the first few pages of
   it.
  - Q. Did it look to you to be IP addresses?
- A. Yes, there were two columns, the left most column looks -- is formatted like IP addresses.
  - Q. Were you able to determine if the left column actually contained IP addresses?
  - A. Technically, there's nothing I'm aware of to determine that. IP addresses are simply four numbers from one to three digits each, and they can

#### Page 58

Page 60

- be IP addresses. They're assigned -- well, it's
   complicated how they're assigned, but they can be
   assigned to a device. There are some restrictions
   on what kind of IP address numbers are assigned, but
   just glancing at it, they look like all legitimate
  - IP addresses.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

1

6

10

14

19

- A. No, I don't believe so.
  - Q. Was there any other distinguishing information in the file?

Q. Was there a header on this file?

- A. Let me clarify that the actual file -- and I have some information. Technically, there was a
- header that I show on Paragraph 24, but it is aheader that indicates that this is an RTF file. So
- if you look at the RTF file, you'll see lots and
- lots and lots of commands about how to format the
- file. Things like, you know, which things are in a row, which things are in a column, which are bold,
- which are certain fonts, which font sizes to use.
- But it's all word processing information.
- Q. Was this third file packet or PCAP data?
- A. No, it wasn't.
  - Q. How did you know that this file did not contain packet or PCAP data?
    - A. Packet data would include much more

## Page 59

- 1 information. It would have, not just one IP
- 2 address, it would have a source IP address, which is
- 3 where the packet originated, a destination IP
- 4 address, which is where it was intended to go. It
- 5 would have information about the size of the packet.
- 6 I don't recall offhand. I used to know what went 7 into a packet, but it would have much more
- 8 information than this.
  - Q. And in your opinion, is there evidence that this file was related to the 2020 U.S. election?
- election?A. There was nothing in here that I -- I
  - determined that nothing in here was related to the 2020 election.
  - Q. Was --
  - A. Also, if I can clarify, I used to know -- I should say I used to know -- I used to have memorized what was in a packet. I can certainly look it up, but when I wrote software and hardware for manipulating packets, I could tell you, off the top of my head, other than source IP, destination IP, and packet length -- oh, and a checksum at the end of the packet. And a payload. But there might be more specific information I'm not recalling.

Q. But you didn't see any of that information

- in this Chinese source IP hex file?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. Was there evidence that this file -- in
- 4 this file that voting machines were online during 5 the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
- 7 Q. Was there evidence in this third file
- 8 indicating that voting machines changed votes during 9 the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was there evidence in this third file
- indicating that voting machines were hacked during the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was there evidence in this file pertaining to Smartmatic?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. And was there evidence in this file
  - indicating that the 2020 U.S. election was stolen?
- 20 A. No
- Q. I'd like to talk now about the fourth file
- in your report, which is on page 53 of the
- Exhibit 124. The fourth file was called Final
- 24 Reult\_2020\_hex.txt; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

indicating that voting machines were hacked during

Q. Was there any data at all in this fourth

Q. Was there any data in this fourth file

the 2020 U.S. election?

file pertaining to Smartmatic?

A. No.

A. No.

August 16, 2023

#### Page 61 Page 62 1 1 Q. And did you open this file? A. Well, what was in this file appear to be a 2 2 A. Yes. random set of characters, numbers, symbols, but the 3 Q. How did you open it? 3 thing that I discovered, going through the process 4 A. I went through the same process as the 4 that I described, is that this was all perfectly 5 file for -- the file Chinese Source IP Hex.txt by 5 formatted RTF. In other words, sometimes you can 6 6 translating it from hex to binary -- actually, ASCII open a file that looks like this because the word 7 7 representation of hex to binary and then opening up processor doesn't understand it, but this was 8 8 actually a perfectly readable RTF file. The word in Microsoft Word. 9 9 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: I'm now entering what processor perfectly understood it to be these --10 10 is marked as Exhibit 319. This has a Bates number what appear to be a random set of characters and 11 symbols. 11 Zeidman SMT Lindell 042646. 12 (EXHIBIT 319 WAS MARKED FOR 12 Q. Do you recall if this file was about 13 13 30 megabytes? IDENTIFICATION.) 14 A. That sounds about right. 14 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. So, again, this is 15 15 Q. And was there evidence that this fourth the first 10 pages of that document printed. The file was related to the 2020 U.S. election? 16 16 file itself is on the flash drive in front of you. 17 A. No. 17 A. Did you want me to check it, to open the 18 flash drive? 18 O. You say that it was random characters and 19 numbers. In your report, you refer to it as 19 Q. Yes, please. The Bates number on the file is 042646. 20 gibberish. 20 21 A. Uh-huh. 21 A. Okay. I have it open. 22 Q. How do you know that this document was Q. Is this the fourth file that you reviewed 22 23 just not translated properly? 23 at the cyber symposium? 24 A. Well, it was Microsoft Word, and I did 24 A. It looks to be, yes. 25 some testing, but I know this from years of 25 Q. Can you tell what was in this file? Page 63 Page 64 1 experience. If it can't understand a file, it will 1 indicating that the 2020 U.S. election was stolen? 2 2 give a message at the very beginning asking how do A. No. 3 3 Q. And did this fourth file contain PCAP you want this file translated because it doesn't 4 understand. I've seen that a lot of times. This 4 data? 5 5 file, it opened up perfectly, and there were no A. No. 6 Q. Now, we're going to talk about the fifth error message or warnings or questions about how to 6 7 7 file, which is called target\_machineID\_hex.txt. translate it. So it -- and I also looked at the 8 underlying code and saw that everything was 8 Did you open this file? 9 perfectly described in RTF commands. 9 A. Yes, using the same process that I 10 10 Q. Was there any evidence in this fourth file described for the other two files. indicating that voting machines were online during 11 11 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: We're now entering 12 the 2020 U.S. election? 12 what will be marked as Exhibit 320 with the Bates 13 A. No. 13 stamp Zeidman SMT Lindell 053965. 14 Q. Was there any data in this fourth file 14 (EXHIBIT 320 WAS MARKED FOR 15 indicating that the voting machines changed votes 15 IDENTIFICATION.) during the 2020 U.S. election? 16 THE WITNESS: I just lost the folder. 16 17 A. No. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. We have the first 18 Q. Was there any evidence in this fourth file

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 pages printed for you and the full file on the

Q. Do you recognize this document?

A. Yes, this is the -- this is the result of

putting Target Machine I.D. Hex.txt through the same

that file and look at it?

A. Yes, I just did.

computer in front of you. Could you please open

August 16, 2023

#### Page 65 Page 66 1 transformation process for -- as I did for the other 1 A. No. 2 2 two files and opening it up in Microsoft Word. Q. Was there any evidence in this file 3 Q. And is this fifth file also in gibberish? 3 pertaining to Smartmatic? 4 A. Yes. 4 A. No. 5 5 Q. And seeing as it's in gibberish, how do Q. Was there any evidence in this file 6 you know that you translated it correctly? 6 indicating that the 2020 U.S. election was stolen? 7 A. In the same way I described before. There 7 8 8 O. And did this fifth file contain PCAP or were no -- well, I looked at the code. The code packet data? 9 appeared to be correct for RTF, the underlying RTF 9 10 10 commands, and Microsoft Word did not give me error A. No. 11 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: We're now going to 11 messages or warnings when trying to open it. 12 12 talk about the sixth file, which is on page 54 of Q. Was this 5th file related, in your 13 your report labeled targets\_hex.txt. We're 13 opinion, to the 2020 election? 14 introducing what will be marked as Exhibit 321 with 14 A. No. 15 the Bates stamp Zeidman SMT Lindell 053987. 15 Q. Was there evidence in this fifth file indicating that voting machines were online during 16 (EXHIBIT 321 WAS MARKED FOR 16 17 17 the 2020 U.S. election? IDENTIFICATION.) 18 A. No. 18 MR. BECK: 321? 19 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Yes. 19 Q. Was there evidence in this fifth file 20 Do you have Exhibit 321 open on the 20 indicating that voting machines changed votes during 21 computer in front of you? 21 the 2020 U.S. election? 22 A. Yes. 22 A. No. 23 Q. Do you recognize this document? 23 O. Was there evidence in this fifth file 24 A. Yes, this is the -- again, going through 2.4 indicating that voting machines were hacked during 25 the same translation process for the other hex text the 2020 election? 25 Page 67 Page 68 1 files, this is what appears in Microsoft Word when 1 Q. Was there any evidence in the sixth file 2 2 indicating that voting machines changed votes during you do a transformation on targets hex.txt. 3 3 the 2020 U.S. election? Q. And did you use the same process for the 4 sixth file that you did for the fifth and the fourth A. No. 4 5 5 Q. Was there any evidence in the sixth file file? 6 indicating that voting machines were hacked during A. Yes. 6 7 7 the 2020 U.S. election? Q. And did gibberish -- is this file in 8 gibberish? 8 A. No. 9 A. Yes, it's what I have termed gibberish. 9 Q. Was there any evidence in the sixth file 10 Q. Seeing as it's gibberish, do you know you 10 pertaining to Smartmatic? 11 translated it correctly based on the process you 11 A. No. 12 described for me from the fifth file and the fourth 12 Q. Was there any evidence in the sixth file 13 file? 13 indicating that the 2020 U.S. election was stolen? 14 A. Yes, as with those files, I looked at the 14 15 codes, the RTF codes. They all seemed to be 15 Q. Did the sixth file even contain any packet correct. Of course, I can't look at all of them, 16 16 data? 17 but Microsoft Word didn't give me error messages or 17 A. No. 18 warnings when opening it. 18 Q. I'd like to talk about the seventh file. 19 19 Q. In your expert opinion, was the sixth file Which is also on page 54 of your report. This file 20 related to the 2020 U.S. election? 20 was called RNX-000001.bin. Is that right? 21 21 A. That's correct. A. No. 22 Q. Was there any evidence that the sixth file 22 Q. Mr. Zeidman, what is a bin file? 23 indicated that voting machines were online during 23 A. Well, a bin file is typically a binary 24 the 2020 U.S. election? 24 file. Now, technically every file on a computer is 25 25 a binary file. But bin typically indicates that A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

August 16, 2023

#### Page 69

it's a file with ones and zeros that are just meant for a computer, that are not meant for a human being to understand.

Q. So how did you first -- did you attempt to open this file?

A. I used a number of different tools. I discuss here Wireshark. This seemed to be the candidate that everyone -- all of the cyber experts agreed if there were packet captures, PCAPs, that this would be it, but those would typically not have the extension bin, B-I-N. But I put it through Wireshark, which is a program for analyzing PCAPs.

And, actually, initially it was taking a really long time. So I found a utility online to divide it up into much smaller packets -- much smaller -- sorry -- chunks and put each chunk -- put a couple of chunks through Wireshark, and Wireshark gave an error message, said there's no PCAP data in this file.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: We're now going to introduce what will be marked as Exhibit 322.

22 (EXHIBIT 322 WAS MARKED FOR 23 **IDENTIFICATION.)** 

24 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This has the Bates 25 stamp Zeidman SMT Lindell 054195. And this was

Page 70

- attached to your expert report as Exhibit F.
- A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you recognize this?
- A. Vaguely. This appears to be the instructions for using Wireshark to open PCAP files.
- 6 O. And what happened when you attempted to 7 open the RNX bin file with Wireshark?
  - A. So on page 12 of my report, Paragraph 34, I show a screenshot of an error message that I received. To be clear, I also tried legitimate PCAP files that I found online and Wireshark did open them. I also divided those Wireshark -- sorry -those PCAP files into smaller pieces, smaller chunks, and Wireshark was also able to open those smaller chunks, just in case my effort to divide up the file had somehow made the file unreadable.

But also, as I discussed in arbitration and perhaps not as much detail in my report, I used other tools, including my own tools, to determine whether there was some other kind of data in this file other than PCAP data, and I couldn't find anything.

Q. And when you received this error message from Wireshark, what did that mean to you as a cyber security expert?

# Page 71

A. It told me that these are not PCAP files in any known format, by the way. As I mentioned, they're -- Wireshark can analyze 37 different PCAP formats. I think these are all of the known PCAP formats, to my -- to my knowledge, these are all the known PCAP formats.

- Q. And did you also try to change the extension on this file?
  - A. Yes, but Wireshark still couldn't read it.
- Q. You tried to change the extension to dot PCAP?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Were you ever able to get this file open?
  - A. I was able to open it in a text editor. And what I found is that the binary files that I've worked with and that I'm aware of will typically have a header that says what -- that will describe the data in the file and how it was generated so that if a human does try to read the file, it will give some basic information about the file. I didn't find that.

I also have some tools that will search for text within a file, and I was surprised that I couldn't find any text. Even in a binary file, typically there will be something like a message to

- 1 a user. So, for example, if it's an application
- 2 that you're running on the computer, there will be a
- 3 list of messages saying please press this button or, 4
  - you know, error. You did the wrong thing. You
- 5 know, user errors. And there was none of that. So 6
  - it surprised me that I couldn't find any text
  - whatsoever in the file.
  - Q. In your expert opinion, did this file contain packet data?
  - A. No, it didn't.
- 11 Q. Was there evidence that this file was 12 related to the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Was there evidence that the seventh file indicated that voting machines were online during the 2020 U.S. election?
    - A. No.
- 18 Q. Was there evidence that the seventh file 19 showed that voting machines changed votes during the 20 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Was there evidence in the seventh file indicating that voting machines were hacked during the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

#### Page 73

- 1 Q. Was there any data in the seventh file 2 pertaining to Smartmatic?
  - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Was there any data in the seventh file indicating that the U.S. election in 2020 was stolen?
  - A. No.
- Q. Were you given any other information to analyze on day one of the cyber symposium?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever determine where the files came from that you saw on day one?
  - A. Well, I eventually determined that they were given to Mr. Lindell by a man named Dennis Montgomery.
    - Q. How did you learn that?
- 17 A. Mr. Lindell discussed that in his 18 deposition and at the hearing.
  - Q. His deposition in your arbitration?
  - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you know if these were the files
- 22 Mr. Montgomery gave to Mr. Lindell unedited?
- 23 A. I've heard testimony about various 2.4
- manipulations that were done. I have no firsthand 25 knowledge of -- I have no firsthand knowledge of how

- Page 74
- 1 Mr. Lindell received these files, only what was 2 testified to at my arbitration.
  - Q. Do you have any opinions about how the files you saw on day one were created?
    - A. Well, I do.
    - Q. What are those opinions?
  - A. Well, I can tell you that the hex files appear to have been created probably by a program that put out these gibberish characters or these table of numbers into a word processor. I say that because everything seems to be random, and I doubt that someone would spend days typing thousands of pages into a word processor. So I'm assuming that it was done automatically. But then the simple transformation that I undid, that simple transformation was performed to make it look like something different. That's for the text files. I do have some information, if you want me

to talk about it, that I learned in my arbitration about the bin file.

- Q. Yes, please.
- A. Okay. It came out in my arbitration that there is a program, which I did receive on day three -- sorry -- day two in the other files called C Extract. C Extract will extract a spreadsheet

Page 75

- from the binary files. Well, let me correct that. In theory, C Extract will extract a spreadsheet,
- which is one of the files I was given on day two.
- However, what came out in testimony and with my experimentation, at some point during the arbitration, the C Extract program I was given had security measures in it that would not allow it to work. However, I was able to take some time and defeat the security mechanisms because they were very, very simple and run the program, and as some of Mr. Lindell's experts opined at the hearing, it did not produce the spreadsheet that I was given. It actually produced something similar, but without the headers, in the spreadsheet. Those headers were put in manually.
- Q. Are you referring to the spreadsheet mx000123.csv?

to us, but at my arbitration I was told that I

- A. Yes.
  - Q. Who told you to use the C Extract program?
- A. That -- I was told at the arbitration that I was expected to use it. It was among the 509 other files that I received on day two, which eventually the cyber experts were told were not part of the challenge and were not supposed to be given

18 19 20

- Q. And how long did it take for you to determine that none of the seven files had evidence
- relating to the 2020 U.S. election? 21 A. I recall it was roughly three hours.
- 22 Q. What did you do for the rest of the day? 23 A. I went back to my hotel and wrote up my expert report.

24 25

Q. Did you speak with anyone else that day?

- should have used the program. However, the program
- 2 had a security measure that made it impossible to 3 run or at the very least dangerous to run, which
  - Mr. Lindell's own expert witness testified to at his -- at the hearing.
  - Q. Going back to day one, did you have any thoughts or opinions about how the seven files you viewed were named?
  - A. Well, my only opinion was -- I didn't want to draw any conclusions, but final reult was spelled wrong. It seemed to me to be sloppy, but not necessarily an indication of anything, and there was an issue with RNX that came up later. I think we might get to it on the day two files. The names of the files seemed to imply some kind of Chinese hacking, but I had no reason to question them until I did my analysis.

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

#### Page 77

A. My wife.

2.0

- Q. Did you watch any of the cyber symposium stage programming on day one?
- A. I did. I went back and forth between the cyber room where the cyber experts were working and the stage where the symposium was being given.
- Q. Do you recall any of the programming you saw on day one?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What programming was that?
- A. Well, day one was mostly Mr. Lindell on stage for the entire time discussing Chinese hacking of our voting machines. We gave the pledge of allegiance. We sang God bless America or Star Spangled Banner several times. I don't remember anything specific beyond that.
- Q. So what happened when you arrived for day two of the cyber symposium?
- A. That's when I got into the cyber room and a people were still working and said we've been given more files to analyze.
- Q. Are those the same people that were in the room with you on day one?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. They were other cyber experts?

## Page 78

- A. Yes, I should say that these were the people who were considered cyber experts. And I just want to be clear, I have no dispute with any of those people or I'm not questioning anything about them, but I did know there was a varying degree of expertise. Some people were simply I.T. people who said they knew nothing about cyber security or forensics. And there were some people there who were expert cyber security analysts.
- Q. And you were all given cyber security credentials at the cyber symposium?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you given more files on the day two of the cyber symposium?
- A. Yes, the four files listed in Paragraph 36 of my report.
- Q. Did anyone from the red team tell you anything about these four files before you reviewed them?
  - A. Not that I recall, and I think it was on day one that Josh Merritt made his comment, but it may have been on day two.
  - Q. I'm now looking at the -- at day two in your expert report, and I'd like to talk about the first file, which is mx000123.csv. Is this the

Page 79

- Excel file that we were talking about a few minutes ago?
  - A. Yes, but to be clear, just as a technicality, it's not really an Excel file. It's a generic spreadsheet that can be -- it's a very, very simple format. It's very easy to generate. I know that because my tools generate these files, but they can be read by any spreadsheet program.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Thank you. That's helpful. We're now entering what will be marked as Exhibit 323.

(EXHIBIT 323 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This is Bates stamped Zeidman SMT Lindell 054199. Are you able to open that on the computer?

A. Yes.

all printed on a few pages.

Q. So I'll represent to you that what we printed as Exhibit 323 is the first 10 pages of the file and then select pages of an almost 18,000-page document showing the first columns -- the first page of the column of the spreadsheet. Does that make sense?

A. I believe so, yes. It's hard to get this

Page 80

- Q. Exactly. How did you open this file at the cyber symposium?
  - A. Well, a CSV is known as a comma separated values spreadsheet. As I mentioned, any spreadsheet program will open it, so I used Microsoft Excel to open it.
  - Q. And what -- what did you see when you opened the file?
  - A. A very large spreadsheet, very wide and very long, with columns with what I later realized were latitude and longitude of cities, the city name, the state, the country, various information in columns, in many different columns.
  - Q. Paragraph 38 of your report says that the files included columns labeled source IP, target IP, and source location. What did that mean to you?

A. Well, I was guessing. Source IP is

typically the name in a packet from where -- the IP address of the computer where the packet originated from. Target IP has no meaning that I'm aware of in a packet and source location has no meaning in a packet. There is destination IP, and I, at some point, thought maybe someone had renamed target -- renamed destination IP to target IP. Although, there would be no real reason for doing that.

2.4

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

#### Page 81

Q. Are source IP, target IP, and source location items you would expect to see in a packet capture?

A. I would expect to see source IP. Not target IP, but something called destination IP. Source location is not something. There's one thing that you should know about a packet is only basic information is available -- is visible to packet capture. And that's the source of the packet, the destination of the packet, but any other information would be encrypted inside what's called the payload of the packet, and so I wouldn't expect to see any of that

And if the packet is created correctly, decrypting that information from a payload would be a nearly impossible task. I won't say completely impossible. If someone had massive super computers or some kind of -- there are ways of doing it by hacking into other systems or having a massive supercomputer. In theory, it's possible.

But also what's in the packet header is not just encrypted, but it's another format. It's not a packet format. It's not a -- it's not the basic packet format. In fact, I think it can be in any format. So what you would find in the packet is

Page 82

Page 84

source IP, destination IP, length of packet, which is interesting none of -- none of these, the spreadsheet or anything that anyone explained, had length of the packet.

You also have a checksum at the end, which is a way of confirming that the packet was not corrupted. Packets get corrupted during transmission. That happens frequently. So there is what's called an error checking code at the end, which determine whether the packet was corrupted or not.

- Q. So is it fair to say there are items that you would expect to be in this file if it were indeed a PCAP that you did not find?
- A. That's correct, and the other information in here is something that I would definitely never find in a PCAP.
  - Q. So I'd like you to turn to the last page of the printed document, which is Zeidman SMT Lindell 068043. There are candidate names Biden one, Trump one, Biden two, Trump two, on the top column.

Would you expect to see candidate names in PCAP data?

A. No.

#### Page 83

- Q. Why not?
- A. They have nothing to do with a packet.
- 3 Again, there might be information inside the header
- that would need to be de-coded or decrypted. Any
   kind of information can be inside the packet header,
- 6 but the packet itself wouldn't have that information
- 7 in it.

2.0

- Q. Was there any explanation given to you as to where this file came from?
- A. During arbitration, there were various explanations given. These were allegedly captured by Dennis Montgomery using his alleged Hammer and --
- Q. Scorecard?
- A. Scorecard, thank you. Hammer Scorecard hardware and software to capture packets in realtime. Then there were further explanations that these -- well, that the data in the binary files that we discussed, the one we discussed so far, I think, had this data encrypted, encoded, decrypted, decoded, cyphers added, cyphers removed. The explanations varied depending on who was giving the explanation.
- Q. Did you find that explanation to be credible?
- 25 A. Not at all.

- Q. Why not?
  A. A lot of reasons. One very simple reason is that -- one consistent explanation is that the binary files were compressed. However, this file that I was told came from the binary files, this is about 24 megabytes of data, and the binary file was about 24 gigabytes of data. Compression makes things smaller, not bigger. And this made it -- let's see if I get it right -- I think a thousand times larger. So that's not compression.
- Q. Was there any evidence that this file was related to the 2020 election?
- A. Not in my opinion, no.
- Q. Was there any evidence that this was PCAP data?
  - A. No
- Q. Was there any evidence that this file from day two indicated that voting machines were online during the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was there any evidence from this first file of day two indicating that voting machines changed votes during the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No, if I could just say some of Mr. Lindell's people claimed that Trump one, Biden

August 16, 2023

## Page 85

- 1 one, Trump two, Biden two, was evidence that votes 2 were changed, but I disproved that. The arbitrators 3 agreed with me in my hearing that this did not
- 4 comprise evidence that votes were changed. 5 Q. Was there evidence that this first file
  - from day two shows that voting machines were hacked during the 2020 election?
    - A. No.
- 9 Q. And was there evidence that this first 10 file from day two had anything to do with
- 11 Smartmatic?

6

7

8

12

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. No.
- 13 Q. I'd like to talk about the second file you were given on day two. This was called summary.rtf. 14
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Did you open this file?
- 17 A. Yes, that was a -- I opened in it
- 18 Microsoft Word. It was a rich text format document.
- 19 Q. What was in the file?
- 20 A. So that's what was shown at the top of 21
- page 14 of my report was just some -- a list of 22 three files, what looked like a creation date, a
- 23 modified date, and a last access date, and then a
- 24 paragraph discussing C Extract, the file -- the
- 25 program that allegedly created the spreadsheet from

Page 86

Page 88

- 1 the binary data, and then the name of the 2 spreadsheet.
  - Q. In your opinion, was this second file PCAP data?
    - A. No.

3

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

1

5

8

9

10

11

12

16

20

23

24

25

- 6 Q. Was this second file related to the 2020 U.S. election?
- 8 A. No, it has a date in there for the 2020 9 election, but that doesn't say to me that it's 10 related in any way.
  - Q. Was there evidence that the second file indicated voting machines were online during the 2020 U.S. election?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Was there evidence in this second file from day two indicating that voting machines changed votes during the 2020 U.S. election?
- 19 Q. Was there evidence in the second file from 20 day two indicating that voting machines were hacked 21 during the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Was there any data in the second file from day two pertaining to Smartmatic?
    - A. No.

- Q. Was there any data in the second file from day two indicating that the 2020 U.S. election was stolen?
  - A. No.
  - Q. I'd like to talk about the last file you looked at on day two. This was called rnx-000002.bin.
    - Did you open this file?
  - A. So there were two files, also dash -- also three dot bin, and that's listed there. They were both binary files like the first one I was given on day one. I, again, attempted to use Wireshark to open it. I also used my other tools to look for text within there. These seemed to be very similar to the first binary file, and I couldn't find any useful information in it.
  - Q. When you say these, are you referring to the RNX two bin and the RNX three bin file together?
  - A. Well, I analyzed them separately. I put them together -- I described them together here only because I did the same things to both of them that I did to the one dot bin file and got the same results that these were not PCAP files or anything related to the 2020 election.
    - Q. So you tried to use Wireshark to open

- them?
- 2
- 3 Q. And you received the same error message we 4 talked about earlier?
- 6 Q. Did you find any evidence that these two 7 files were related to the 2020 U.S. election?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Did you find any evidence that these two files indicated that voting machines were online during the 2020 U.S. election?
    - A. No.
- 13 Q. Did you find any evidence indicating that 14 voting machines changed votes during the 2020 U.S. 15 election?
- 17 Q. Did you find any evidence in these two 18 files indicating that voting machines were hacked 19 during the 2020 U.S. election?
- 21 Q. Was there any data in both of these files 22 from day two pertaining to Smartmatic?

  - Q. Was there any data in both of these files from day two indicating that the 2020 U.S. election

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

17

24

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

# Page 89

- 1 had been stolen?
  - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Your report said you were also given some other files on a hard drive. Do you remember receiving these files?
  - A. Yes.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: We're going to introduce what will be marked as Exhibit 324.

(EXHIBIT 324 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This document is Bates stamped Zeidman SMT Lindell 071504, and this was attached to your expert report as Exhibit H.

Do you recognize this?

- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. Is this a complete list of the other files you looked at during the cyber symposium?
    - A. I believe it was. I believe it is.
    - Q. At any point, did you open these files?
  - A. I don't recall. I probably opened -well, I know that I have recently opened the PCAP
- files in Wireshark. I don't recall if I did that at 22
- 23 the symposium. 24
  - Q. Are the PCAP files the first 11 that we see listed here?

## Page 90

- 1 A. The ones ending in PCAP NG, which is at 2 least files one, five, six, seven, eight, nine, 10, 3 and 11.
  - Q. And what did you find when you opened those?
  - A. They opened in Wireshark easily, and Wireshark gave me information like source address and destination -- sorry -- source IP and destination IP.
    - Q. Was there any evidence in those files indicating that they were related to the 2020 U.S. election?
    - A. Not that I recall, but -- so I did my analysis at the symposium. I just happened to open them recently.
      - Q. Uh-huh.
    - A. And I didn't really look at the data, just looked to see whether Wireshark had opened them. I don't recall if I did that at the symposium, but what I did determine is all of these files, with maybe a few exceptions, all of them were modified within a week before the symposium, which meant that they couldn't at least legitimately represent data from the 2020 election, which had occurred nine months, 10 months earlier.

## Page 91

- Q. So based on that finding, was there evidence in these PCAP files indicating that voting machines were online during the 2020 election?
  - A. I would say I didn't look at a lot of these files, and I may have looked at some of them. I just know that from their modification date they couldn't reflect -- they couldn't legitimately reflect anything about the 2020 election.
  - Q. Do you recall seeing evidence in any of these other files indicating that voting machines were hacked during the 2020 U.S. election?
    - A. No, I didn't.
  - Q. Do you believe that any of these other files prove the 2020 U.S. election was stolen?
    - A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. Were you given any other information to analyze on day two of the cyber symposium?
  - A. I believe that was all the -- all the files that I've listed, including this list.
  - Q. And did you ever determine where the files you saw on day two came from?
- A. Well, from -- what -- what came from testimony at my arbitration hearing and depositions, the first four files, again, came from Dennis
- 25 Montgomery. That's my understanding -- and/or Conan

- 1 Hayes. It appears that Mr. Montgomery gave the 2 files to Mr. Lindell, who used Conan Hayes to review
- 3 them. The other files, these 509 files, were given
- 4 out by Josh Merritt, and we were told emphatically
- 5 at the arbitration that Josh Merritt was not
- 6 associated or was not -- was no longer associated
- 7
- with the challenge and that he should not have given 8 these out and we were to ignore these files.
  - Q. At what point were you told to ignore
  - Mr. Merritt?
- 11 A. I remember it distinctly at my 12
- arbitration. At the symposium, I don't recall. I 13 don't remember getting that message. There was some
- 14 discussion among cyber experts that these were not
- 15 part of the challenge, as I recall, but I don't
- 16 remember hearing that directly from Lindell's
- 18 Q. The top of page 52 of Exhibit 124, which 19 is page eight of your report, you say that some
- 20 files were represented to you by Joshua Merritt and
- 21 Colonel Phil Waldren as excerpts of packet data and
- 22 hex format. Is that the first time that you met
- 23 Joshua Merritt?
  - A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And is this on or around the time that

2.0

August 16, 2023

#### Page 93

- Joshua Merritt told you that all of the files were bullshit?
  - A. Yes, somewhere around that time. Again, I can't -- I believe it was day one, but it could have been day two.
  - Q. Do you recall anything else about that comment or the context surrounding it?

A. I just remember I was surprised to hear that. I think a lot of the people -- the cyber experts in the room were surprised. We -- we didn't get an explanation of what that meant. But by that time, it was -- we had already begun analyzing the files and were asking where are the PCAPs. These are not PCAPs.

Again, everyone was focused on PCAPs. I wasn't necessarily focused on PCAPs, but a lot of people were frustrated, and I just remember that people were surprised to hear him say that.

- Q. How long did it take for you to determine on day two that none of the files contained information relating to the 2020 U.S. election?
- A. Well, I would say that the four files that I've labeled day two files, which, as I understand now, were part of the challenge. Probably took me a half hour because I used all the techniques I had

Page 94

Page 96

- done on the other files, the similar files, on day one. Other than a spreadsheet, which I just opened in Microsoft Excel, and saw that there was nothing in there that was PCAP data, and I recognized things that could not have been captured from PCAP data.
  - Q. Uhm, no, go ahead.
- A. With the 509 other files, I didn't discover -- I didn't have an opinion about that until day three. Because it took me most of the day to just get a copy of these files, and realized 24 hours was not going to be enough time to analyze them, but then again, on day three, I saw that most of them were modified within a week. So from my point of view, they could not legitimately represent data about the November 2020 election if they had been modified just a week ago.
- Q. What did you do for the rest of the day after you spent only 30 minutes determining these files were not real?
- A. So on day two, I don't remember the exact sequence, but I did go out and get a larger hard drive, and then one person at the symposium was copying the data for these 509 files. They required a larger hard drive than I had, and I think they took about an hour for each copy, maybe a half hour,

Page 95

and there was a line of people. So I went out and got hard drive, put it in the queue, and then went and listened to the talks at the symposium.

Q. Do you recall any of the talks that you heard specifically on day two?

A. Yeah, I do -- I think it was day two.
Because I also heard talks on day three. Actually, yeah, I don't remember which run, day two and day three. I remember Ron Watkins, who is Code Monkey Z, gave a talk remotely. And I will say to his credit, he mostly said that he couldn't analyze any of the data that they were showing him because he needed to have the computer in front of him.

I think there were people on stage at the symposium who were showing, allegedly, disc images from voting machines or some computer disc images. And they were saying they had found evidence of hacking because certain files were missing from the system, and Mr. Watkins would say, well, there are reasons that could happen. I would have to have the computer in front of me to determine what happened, but the people on the stage, and I don't recall who, were saying, see, we found hacking, and -- but the expert on stage remotely was not really saying that.

Q. What were your impressions of the

programming at the cyber symposium?

A. I think that, at least on some of the time, Mr. Lindell did most of the speaking. Even when there were alleged experts on the symposium up on the stage, and he would talk over them. He would show data streaming by at a rate that nobody could discern, and so it was completely meaningless. Most of the experts complained about that because we wanted to see the actual data, and we were told to look at this movie, which had data streaming in the background like something from the matrix.

There were numbers shown, and even Mr. Lindell claimed that the numbers on the screen weren't correct, that -- that there were numbers that were shown -- that allegedly the number of votes in various counties that were switched from Trump to Biden, and Mr. Lindell would mysteriously say those aren't even the right numbers. It was worse than that.

There were a lot of people up on the stage at the symposium. Some of whom did not claim to be experts. I think there were a group of mothers who had apparently lost children in wars or were in support of President Trump or ex-President Trump. I didn't understand why they were there. They were

August 16, 2023

#### Page 97

- not experts in cyber security or computers, and then there were people who alleged to be experts in computers, in cyber security who I could tell, from what they discussed on stage, they misused terms, and I came to the conclusion that they didn't really understand what they were talking about.
- Q. Do you recall who any of those alleged experts were?
- A. I don't recall who specifically said what. I know that Doug Frank was one of the people. Phil Waldren. There were some others whose names I just don't recall.
  - Q. Have you ever met a Todd Sanders?
  - A. Yes, I have.

2.0

- Q. Did you ever speak with him?
- A. I spoke with him briefly during my arbitration. He was deposed, and then he testified, but we also actually spoke casually during breaks, and we were staying at the same hotel during the arbitration, so we spoke a few times.
  - Q. Do you recall if Mr. Sanders was one of the alleged experts you saw at the cyber symposium?
  - A. Not that I recall.
  - Q. Have you ever heard of a Shawn Smith?
- A. That name came up, I think, at the

Page 98

- hearing, but I don't know who that is.
  - Q. And have you ever met Dennis Montgomery?
    - A. No.

- Q. Have you ever met Colonel Waldren?
- A. I think he came into the cyber room to make some of the announcements, but I didn't talk to him, and I can't -- what I recall is somebody would come in, make an announcement, something like we're getting you the files or, you know, there's a reason you can't -- we can't give you the files, and then walk out. And somebody would say that was Phil Waldren, but I just -- I didn't talk to him, and I can't even confirm that that was him.
- Q. Do you recall if Phil Waldren was one of the alleged cyber security experts that you saw speak at the cyber symposium?
- A. I'm almost certain that he was one of the people who spoke at the symposium. One thing that was difficult about the symposium -- well, two things. One, I was focused on the data, so I would walk back, but also interesting thing is that Mr. Lindell would bring speakers up to the stage without introducing them, and I had to do some sleuthing to find out who these people were.

I did find that on the -- on a streaming

## Page 99

platform -- I found out sometime later, maybe day two or three, that if you go to the streaming platform, it was being streamed live, and there it would have subtitles showing who was speaking. But most of the time he would just say we've got a panel. I'm going to let them speak. Nobody introduced anyone. So I was never really sure who was speaking, and there was no written agenda.

One of the things that frustrated me, at my hearing, an agenda -- at my arbitration hearing, an agenda showed up that was turned over in discovery, but every day we got a sheet paper that told when breakfast was -- I think it said breakfast, lunch, and dinner, and in between it said speakers to be announced.

- Q. Have you ever met Conan Hayes?
- A. No.
- Q. Had you -- did you see Conan Hayes speak at the cyber symposium?
- A. I don't think so, but, again, because speakers weren't announced, I never knew who was speaking.
  - Q. Do you know anything about Conan Hayes other than what you told me as it relates to the Dennis Montgomery files?

- A. I know a little bit about him. So I know -- I've written some articles, and I've been doing research for my hearing and since my hearing about the people involved. So I do know something about Conan Hayes. I didn't know at the time, though.
  - Q. And what is your understanding now of Conan Hayes?
  - A. My understanding from my research and from testimony at my hearing is that he started a -- a chain of stores for surfers. I think was fashion for surfers. I've looked into his background, could find no evidence of any computer background whatsoever, either experience or education, but I do understand that Mr. Lindell, for some reason, has relied on him to -- to take images of discs from computers involved in -- with voting and also to vet the data coming from Dennis Montgomery.
  - Q. During your time at the cyber symposium, did you talk to any other cyber security experts who you knew by name?
- A. Yes, I knew them -- I found out their names at the conference. I talked with Bill Alderson.
  - Q. Anyone else?

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

#### Page 101

- A. I talked with Doug Gould, who became Mr. Lindell's expert at my arbitration.
  - Q. Uh-huh.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I talked briefly with a gentleman whose name I'm going to get wrong. Mr. Lindell always gets it wrong. Harri --
  - Q. Hursti?
  - A. Hursti. Yes. Thank you. Harri Hursti. I talked briefly with him. There were others I talked to, but I don't recall their names.
  - Q. Do you recall your conversation with Bill Anderson?
- 13 A. Yes.
  - Q. What did you talk about?
  - A. Well, we had mutual friends back in California. He had worked for a company run by two people who were very successful and are now philanthropists. I know them both, one very well. But also we talked about packets and networking, and I'm sure you know that he has also claimed that the data that Mike Lindell presented was bogus, that was not related to the November 2020 election.
  - MR. BECK: Counsel, are we talking about Anderson or Alderson?
  - THE WITNESS: Alderson, Bill Alderson.

#### Page 102

- MR. BECK: Okay. There's a mistake then.
  MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Did Mr. Alderson
  ever express to you that he believed the cyber
  security data was illegitimate?
  - A. He said something about that.
     MR. BECK: Objection; calls for hearsay.

THE WITNESS: He said something to that
effect. We've -- I should say we've talked since
the cyber symposium, and I know that he -- he's
given interviews where he said that the data -- the
word I've used is bogus. That the data presented by
Mr. Lindell at the symposium was bogus, not packet
data, and not related to the November 2020 election.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Do you recall your conversation with Doug Gould?

- A. Yes. I had a conversation with him, several at the symposium, and actually a few informal conversations during the -- my arbitration.
- Q. And what do you recall from those conversations?
  - A. Well, Mr. Gould was sitting next to me at the cyber symposium. I asked him where I could get a copy of Wireshark. One thing I will say I was unaware of is that I was used to using a tool called -- I think it was EtherPeek, which was a tool

## Page 103

for examining packets. What I didn't know was EtherPeek, p-e-e-k -- I believe that's the name of the tool -- later became named Wireshark. And so I wasn't familiar with the name Wireshark. So I said, well, where can I get this tool, and he told me where I could download it.

We talked a bit about our backgrounds. And then at the hearing we ran into each other a few times at breakfast, and he said that he'd like to get to know me better when this whole thing is over.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Do you recall any conversations with Harri Hursti?

A. Just a very brief one. I believe it was Harri that I had talked to on day three. We had given a note -- the cyber experts who remained on day three, there were about maybe 20 left, 15 to 20. We all gathered in one room, and we decided to give a note to Mr. Lindell saying that we didn't understand the data he had given us, and we didn't have any PCAPs and that we'd like to get them or discuss them with him.

It was a long discussion that day about -- everyone remaining said that there was no PCAP data and nothing related to the 2020 election. But some people thought that maybe Mr. Lindell wasn't aware

Page 104

- of that or that he wasn't giving us the right data
  for some reason that -- that we wanted him -- we
  wanted him to understand the situation. Maybe he -the thought was maybe he didn't understand the
  situation. He's not a technical guy, so maybe he
  thought we were given the right data and we weren't,
- thought we were given the right data and we weren't,
  or maybe he could explain to us why we weren't given
  the right data.

  There were rumors of national security

concerns and hacking concerns. So we wrote up a note, and we gave it to Doug Gould to give to Mr. Lindell. And as I was leaving the symposium on day three, I saw Harri Hursti, and I asked him -- I believe it was him. I know I talked to Harri Hursti, and I believe this is what we discussed. I said, What happened to the note that Doug Gould was to give to Lindell? And he said, Gould went to Lindell. Lindell invited him up on stage to speak, and Mr. Gould said that the experts were all examining the data and we would need a few more weeks at least to understand the data.

So Harri and I were both surprised, but the symposium was ending and we just kind of shrugged our shoulders and said that's that.

Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of

2.0

August 16, 2023

#### Page 105

whether anyone told Mr. Lindell that the cyber security experts did not believe his data was real?

A. Well, I know Josh Merritt said that he didn't believe they were real. From what I understand, Josh told Mr. Lindell that the cyber experts were upset -- well, I know this from Josh Merritt's testimony at my hearing and my discussions with him. He told Mr. Lindell the cyber experts were really upset because they expected PCAP data and they're not getting them.

But you probably know that Mr. Lindell fired Josh Merritt and did some other things to hurt his reputation, and -- but then we sent Doug Gould with the note. I don't know if Doug Gould ever presented that note to Mr. Lindell, but I assume he did. Did that answer your question?

Q. Yeah, what else did you do, if anything, on the third day of the cyber symposium?

A. Uhm, most of the day I spent listening, eating the food, and listening to the talks. I actually -- during the symposium, I met the editor of the Iowa Standard, a newspaper. And we discussed what was happening. We discussed the fact that the experts were frustrated by not finding anything. I know that he interviewed me for an article that

Page 106

appeared.

I also met some government representatives. I think a state senator from Missouri and some other people. We discussed politics and culture, and I didn't -- I didn't do much of anything thinking that the -- you know, I lost the challenge because of these 509 files. It was only late in the day that I realized that because of the modification dates, because the files had been modified so recently, that I could use that to determine they were not related to the November 2020 election.

By the way, if I could say that the note -- I may have a copy of what was in the note that we -- I don't have it on me, but a copy of the note that we gave to Doug Gould to give to Mr. Lindell.

Q. We may ask your counsel to give that note to us.

A. I don't know if they have it. I had -- I had made extensive notes during the symposium, and I believe I copied down -- well, I think I was the one who wrote the note initially, and so I think I have it on a -- like in a Word document, but then we either printed it out or somebody handwrote it and

## Page 107

gave it to Mr. Mr. Lindell. So I didn't give it to him, but I think I crafted the wording with everyone's input. We were all there saying what should we put in this note.

Q. Got it. Did you eventually submit your expert report about the files that you reviewed at the cyber symposium to Lindell Management?

- A. Yes, at the very end of the symposium.
- Q. And did you ever hear from anyone about your report?

A. Not until I started an arbitration against Mr. Lindell.

- Q. And when did you start an arbitration against Mr. Lindell?
  - A. I believe that was in October of 2021.
  - Q. And why did you do that?

A. I felt that I had won the challenge, and the rules said that they -- that Lindell Management would determine a winner within two hours of the symposium ending, and I waited about two weeks and didn't hear anything.

Q. Are you aware that Dr. Douglas Frank, who you mentioned earlier, wrote a report about your expert report?

A. Yes.

Page 108

- Q. I'd like you to turn to page 71 of Exhibit 124, which is in front of you. Have you seen this before?
  - A. Yes, this was given to me after I filed the arbitration. In fact, it's dated October 10th, which I believe was within a few days after I filed the arbitration.
  - Q. Okay. This is titled Review of Zeidman Report written on October 10, 2021. Have you personally reviewed Dr. Frank's qualifications?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you think he's qualified to rebut your expert report?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Why not?

A. I've looked into his background, and I had difficulty finding any scientific or engineering work he'd done. Eventually, he turned over a list of papers, maybe a dozen papers, that he had written or co-written. I was able to verify at least some of them by finding them online. But they were written something like 30 or more years ago, and they are in the area of physics. I have a degree in physics. I understand physics better than most people.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

21

23

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

Page 110

Page 112

#### Page 109

I don't dispute his qualifications as a physicist, but I know that the -- the skills to examine computer software and packet data and

4 network equipment is completely different, and I 5

couldn't find anything of that nature in his

background or experience or education. Actually, I

have to say, as I recall, I never found anything

8 about his education. So I'm not sure even what his 9 education is.

1

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Dr. Frank writes about a canary trap in his review of your report. Do you know what a canary trap is?

A. Yes.

Q. What is that?

A. A canary trap is used to isolate a leak of sensitive information, and the way it's done is different parties are given different information, all of which is fake because you know that that information is going to be leaked. So if you -- you know, however many parties you have, they all get somewhat different information. It may not be completely different, but there's at least one fact that is different among all the parties, and then

when the information leaks, you look at that one

fact that was unique and that tells you who among

the suspects leaked the data.

Q. Did you believe that any of the files that you viewed at the cyber symposium were canary traps?

A. No, it makes no sense because every single expert got the same copy. We made each other's copies. So you could not use a canary trap to track any leaks, and also we were told that this was legitimate data and the canary trap doesn't give out legitimate data. It gives out fake data because you know it's going to be leaked.

Q. Who told you that you were being given legitimate data?

A. Well, I can say that we were never told otherwise until I filed my arbitration.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: I'd like to take a break.

17 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. 18 12:32 p.m.

19 (The deposition was in recess from 12:32 20

21 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.

22

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Mr. Zeidman, we're now introducing what's going to be marked as

Exhibit 325.

## Page 111

## (EXHIBIT 325 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. This is the final arbitration award in your case against Mike Lindell.

Have you seen this before?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. I'd like you to go to page six of this document. The first paragraph says, "Thus, the rules provided that to win the contest, a participant must prove that the data Lindell provides and represents reflects information from the November 2020 election unequivocally does not reflect information related to the November 2020 election."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

O. Did you prove that the data unequivocally did not reflect information related to the 2020 election?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Please look at page 15 of this document. In the middle of the page it says analysis of the data. Are you aware that the arbitrators went through each of the files that you and I discussed earlier and found that you met the requirement of winning the Prove the Mike -- Prove Mike Wrong

2 Challenge? 3

A. Yes, they went through each of the 11 files, not the 509 other files.

Q. And are you aware that the arbitrators found that none of the 11 files you reviewed were related to the November 2020 election?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. I'd like to go to the last page of this document. No. 1 says, "Robert Zeidman's claim against Lindell Management LLC for breach of contract is granted. Accordingly, within 30 days of issuance of this award, Lindell Management LLC shall pay \$5 million to Robert Zeidman."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

17 Q. And this is dated April 19, 2023; is that 18 correct?

A. Yes.

2.0 Q. Did Mr. Lindell pay you \$5 million?

A. No.

22 Q. Do you know why not?

> A. I know that he has filed an appeal of this arbitration award.

24 25

Q. Have you done anything further to collect

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

9

12

13

14

20

21

24

25

ROBERT ZEIDMAN SMARTMATIC, ET AL. V. LINDELL, ET AL. August 16, 2023

#### Page 113

your \$5 million reward?

- A. My lawyers have filed a motion to enforce the award and to have Mr. Lindell's appeal dismissed.
- Q. Do you consider yourself politically active?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. Do you consider yourself politically conservative?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. If the election were stolen in the way that Mr. Lindell has claimed through electronic voting machines, do you think that would have been detected?

MR. BECK: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I think, and I've written about this, that most likely it would have been detected, yes.

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Q. Why?

A. Because there are a number of factors.

It's very hard to hide this kind of thing. If it was done purposely within a voting machine, for example, that would require everyone who worked on the development of the software to be quiet about what had happened.

#### Page 114

Also, if the machines were transmitting over the Internet -- I've compared this to the discovery of the Stuxnet virus, which was a computer virus that was released some years ago in Iran. I don't know if you want me to go into the details, but I've written about this. That it was the most sophisticated malware computer virus ever devised, probably was devised as a joint effort between the United States and Israel. It was located in one of the least accessible parts of the Internet that's within the country of Iran that controls its data going in and out, and yet within a matter of months it was detected, isolated, and analyzed.

And so I've stated that if such communications or hacking were taking place in the United States, one of the most -- well, probably the most open society in the world, the most Internet connected society, the most tech savvy society, that it definitely would have been detected by now.

When -- I can say that I thought that perhaps Mr. Lindell or his team had actually detected it. So I thought if it occurred, then -- and somebody would have detected it, maybe Mr. Lindell had that proof, but I've determined that he didn't.

# Page 115

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: I don't have any further questions.

EXAMINATION BY MR. BECK

MR. BECK: Q. Mr. Zeidman, I want to clear up a few things, and we meet again. It's good to see you.

You said earlier that you did not know who the experts were who had validated Mr. Lindell's data. Do you remember saying that?

- A. When I first learned of the data, yes.
- Q. Great. But you did walk through a few names. I'm going to get into those. As a preliminary matter, though, is it your understanding that Mr. Lindell believed he had validated the data?

MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Objection; speculation.

THE WITNESS: That was my understanding from his statements.

MR. BECK: Q. Okay. And, in fact, you saw Mr. Lindell testify at your arbitration hearing; is that right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. He's hard to miss.
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. You would agree. He stated in there that

- he absolutely believed that the data showed fraud;is that right?
  - A. That's what he stated, yes.
  - Q. Yeah, in fact, his exact words were I don't need to prove it's true. I know it's true.
- 6 Do you remember him saying that?
- A. That sounds familiar, but I can't say forsure.
  - Q. Okay. You mentioned Dennis Montgomery.
- Now, without getting into the background of
- 11 Mr. Montgomery, let me ask do you know what Dennis
  - Montgomery told Mike Lindell about the data in question?
  - A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Montgomery told Mr. Lindell that the data were, in fact, accurate?
- 17 accurate?18 A. I b
- A. I believe that's what Mr. Lindell testified. So that's my understanding.
  - Q. You have no reason to think that's not true?
- A. No. I don't have any firsthand knowledge either way.
  - Q. You testified earlier in response to counsel's questions about some of the qualifications

August 16, 2023

#### Page 117

- 1 of the people involved here. Let me ask 2 specifically about Mr. Montgomery. Do you have any
- 3 reason to think that he is not qualified to evaluate
- 4 the data in question? 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

2.4

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

25

- A. Well, I've read about Mr. Montgomery, and I have concerns about his ability to analyze data.
- Q. Are those concerns based on his personal background or his actual technical expertise or some combination thereof?
- A. I'd say combination. I haven't been able to track down his technical background other than reports from him directly.
- Q. Okay. So the answer really is I don't know, correct?
- A. I'd say that's correct.
- Q. All right. Now, regarding Conan Hayes, 17 you did testify about him a bit. First off, do you 18 know if Conan Hayes validated the data in question?
  - A. I believe there was testimony from Mr. Lindell and perhaps others at my arbitration that Conan Hayes validated the data.
- 22 Q. And what did -- if you know, what did Conan Hayes tell Mike Lindell about the data? 23
  - A. I don't know specifically.
  - Q. Okay. So if I were to tell you that Conan

#### Page 118

- Hayes told Mike Lindell the data are accurate, would 1 2 you have any reason or ability to dispute that?
- 3 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Objection; hearsay.
- 4 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
- 5 MR. BECK: Q. Okay. You said you
- 6 researched Conan Hayes's background. Does that mean 7 internet research, that sort of thing?
  - A. It was Internet research, but also there was -- I had discussions with people who had some
- knowledge of Conan Hayes. I don't recall if they 10
- 11 had direct contact with him. 12
  - Q. Well, your testimony this morning, and I was writing this down as it came through on the realtime, said you could find no evidence of any computer background whatsoever. That was the quote.

Do you remember saying that?

A. Yes.

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

4

5

10

11

20

23

24

25

- 18 Q. Okay. This may be splitting a hair here, 19 but I'm just going to ask you do you know -- do you 20 find any evidence that he does not have computer
- 21 background?
- A. No. 22
- 23 Q. Okay. Are you aware of the validation 2.4 work that he did, if any, on this data that we're 25 talking about?

- 1 A. The only knowledge I have is from
- 2 testimony from Mr. Lindell and perhaps others at my 3
  - Q. All right. Same question about Phil Waldren. I think we're calling him Colonel Waldren.
    - What did he tell Mike Lindell, if you know, about the data in question?
      - MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Objection; hearsay. THE WITNESS: I don't know.
  - MR. BECK: Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. or Colonel Waldren told Mr. Lindell that the data were, in fact, accurate?
    - A. I -- again, only from testimony. I think Mr. Lindell may have testified about that or others, but I don't know directly.
      - Q. You don't have any direct knowledge?
      - A. That's correct.
    - Q. Okay. So if Mike Lindell said -- let's just assume that Phil Waldren told him the data were accurate, you'd have no ability to dispute that, correct?
- 22 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: I can't dispute that, no.
- 24 Not directly.
  - MR. BECK: Q. You testified -- and,

- Page 120
- 1 again, I wrote this down regarding Dennis 2 Montgomery. I have no firsthand knowledge of how
- 3 Mr. Lindell received these files, close quote.
  - Do you remember saying that?
  - A. I believe so, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And by these files, I think we're 7 referring to the data that we're talking about in
- 8 the cyber symposium challenge. So do you have any 9 firsthand knowledge, direct knowledge of the origins
  - of the data that Mr. Montgomery gave to Mr. Lindell?
    - A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. You testified -- and this is,
- 13 again, a quote. Maybe he -- meaning Mike Lindell, 14 Maybe he thought we were given the right data, close
- 15 quote. Remember saying that?
- 16 A. I said that was a general thought among 17 some of the cyber experts.
- 18 Q. Well, it was a general thought because he 19 was saying it over and over again, correct?
  - A. Could you repeat what the quote was?
- 21 Q. Maybe he thought we were given the right 22 data.
  - A. Oh, because he was saying over and over again that we were given the right data?
    - Q. Yes.

August 16, 2023

#### Page 121 Page 122 1 A. Uhm, he was definitely saying that, but we 1 A. Well, I know that -- my understanding is 2 didn't know what he believed. 2 that Josh relayed the information from the cyber 3 Q. Do you have any reason to think that he 3 experts to Mr. Lindell. Again, I heard this from 4 did not believe that the data were accurate? 4 Josh. I don't know firsthand, but we were all 5 5 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: Objection; complaining that we hadn't gotten the PCAP data or 6 6 speculation. data that we expected. 7 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know except 7 Q. I understand. I understand. Now, just to revisit what you just said. You know that 8 8 that so many people were telling him at that point 9 that I would think he would heed their remarks. 9 Mr. Lindell was told something because Josh Merritt 10 MR. BECK: Q. Who exactly told him 10 said it; is that right? So this is secondhand? 11 something to the contrary? 11 A. Right. Although, I do know from the 12 12 A. Well, I know that Josh Merritt told him. arbitration that he heard all the testimony from me, 13 13 Q. Okay. Anyone else? from Josh Merritt, and received my report and heard 14 A. Well, Doug Gould was supposed to give this 14 about the complaints, at least from me, from the 15 note that represented a consensus of the experts. I 15 cyber experts. 16 don't know if Mr. Lindell received that note. 16 Q. But the arbitration hearing was this year, 17 O. You testified earlier that he did not 17 correct? 18 18 receive that note, didn't you? A. Yes. 19 19 A. I don't know. I asked Harri Hursti Q. It was. 20 whether Doug Gould gave him that note and he didn't 2.0 A. Yes. 21 know. 21 Q. It was January of this year? 22 Q. Okay. So when you say that Mr. Lindell 22 A. Right. 23 should have known because people are telling him, we 23 Q. All right. So that's well after the cyber 2.4 have that note, which may or may not have been 24 symposium. Agreed? 25 delivered, and we have Josh Merritt. Anybody else? 25 A. That's correct. Page 124 Page 123 Q. Okay. And well after the statements that 1 STATE OF\_ 1 ) ss. 2 are the basis of this lawsuit, correct? 2 COUNTY OF\_ 3 A. I don't know what this lawsuit covers, 3 4 what time period it covers. 4 I, the undersigned, declare under penalty 5 MR. BECK: Okay. That's all I have. 5 Of perjury that I have read the foregoing 6 Thank you. 6 transcript, and I have made any corrections, 7 MS. LEVINE-PATTON: I don't have anything 7 additions or deletions that I was desirous of 8 further. 8 making; that the foregoing is a true and correct 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's 9 transcript of my testimony contained therein. 10 deposition of Robert Zeidman. We are off the record 10 EXECUTED this \_\_ \_ day of \_ 11 at 1:24 p.m. 11 , at 12 THE REPORTER: Did you want to order a 12 (City) (State) 13 copy of transcript? 13 14 MR. BECK: We do. 14 15 (The deposition was concluded at 1:24 15 16 16 p.m.) 17 17 ROBERT ZEIDMAN 18 ---oOo---18 19 19 2.0 20 21 21 2.2 22 23 23 24 24 25 25

August 16, 2023

Lithe undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, State of California, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me first duly swom to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full. complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, cornect said deposition and to subscribe the same.  If urther certify that I am not of counsel or automey for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.		Page 125	
I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, State of California, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.	1	CERTIFICATE	
I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, State of California, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.		CERTIFICATE	
Reporter, State of California, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.		I the undersigned a Certified Shorthand	
the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken remotely; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
taken remotely; that the testimony of the said  witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.	11		
that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.	12		
and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.	13	complete, and true record of said testimony; and	
subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel  or attorney for either or any of the parties in the  foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any  way interested in the outcome of the cause named in  said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.	14	that the witness was given an opportunity to read it	
subscribe the same.  I further certify that I am not of counsel  or attorney for either or any of the parties in the  foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any  way interested in the outcome of the cause named in  said caption.  Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.	15	and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to	
17 I further certify that I am not of counsel 18 or attorney for either or any of the parties in the 19 foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any 20 way interested in the outcome of the cause named in 21 said caption. 22 Executed this 17th day of August, 2023. 23 24	16		
or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption. Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption. Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption. Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.			
21 said caption. 22 Executed this 17th day of August, 2023. 23 24			
Executed this 17th day of August, 2023. 23 24			
23 24			
24		Executed this 17th day of August, 2023.	
LAURA AAELSEN, C.S.K. 01/3		LAUDA AVELCENI C.C.D. (172	
	25	LAURA AXELSEN, C.S.R. 61/3	